


Overview of Uncertain Control Co-Design

NSF Workshop on Control Co-Design Research

 Saeed Azad

Postdoctoral Fellow

 saeed.azad@colostate.edu

 Colorado State University, Department of Systems Engineering

May 4–6, 2023

①

Introduction


→ Introduction

- Often some of the elements of CCD problem are **inherently uncertain** or **not entirely known**; we refer to these characteristics as **uncertainties**
- These uncertainties are related to the amount of **information** that is available to the designer at different stages
- The goal is to identify
 - ✓ ways for the mathematical **representation** of uncertainties,
 - ✓ approaches for their **integration** into CCD activity,
 - ✓ **solution strategies** for solving the resulting uncertain CCD (UCCD) problems

→ Representation of Uncertainties (continued)

Any uncertain variable may be represented in three ways¹:

- **Stochastic**
- **Crisp**
- **Possibilistic**



¹ Beyer and Sendhoff 2007

②

Elements of UCCD Problem

→ Elements of UCCD Problem

- **Control trajectories** may be uncertain, for example due to electrical noise, actuator imprecision, etc.
 - ✓ Robust control used for a class of uncertain systems via disturbance observer-based control for a nonlinear MAGnetic LEViation (MAGLEV) suspension system¹
- **State trajectories** may be uncertain due to uncertainties in $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{d})$, uncertain initial/final conditions, noise, mismodeled, and neglected dynamics, etc.
 - ✓ Robust adaptive fuzzy tracking controller developed to deal with parametric and unmodeled dynamics for a hypersonic flight vehicle²
- **Time-independent optimization variables** may be uncertain due to imperfect manufacturing processes, plant measurement errors, mass productions of plants, plant aging (model plant mismatch)
 - ✓ Robust design optimization used for the UCCD of a hybrid-electric vehicle powertrain with time-independent uncertainties³

¹ Yang et al. 2011 ² X. Hu, Xu, and C. Hu 2018 ³ Azad and Alexander-Ramos 2021

→ Elements of UCCD Problem (continued)

- **Objective function** may be uncertain, but it can be added to the set of constraints through the addition of a new variable; this is known as the **epigraph** form
 - ✓ Epigraph representation demonstrated for an uncertain objective function¹
- **Equality constraints** must be satisfied if they describe the laws of nature (i.e. Type I), otherwise then can be relaxed²
 - ✓ Equality constraints under uncertainties discussed in detail³
- **Risk** is characterized through some **measure** that maps the outcomes from an uncertain space into a quantity that can be easily interpreted; it captures the designer's attitude towards uncertainties through risk-averse, risk-neutral, or risk-taking approaches
 - ✓ Risk-averse approach implemented for optimal motion planning of a robot⁴
- **Inequality (path) constraints** can take different forms depending on the **availability of information** and the **risk attitude** of the designer

¹ Azad and Herber 2022a ² Azad and Herber 2022b ³ Mattson and Messac 2003 ⁴ Nakka and Chung 2022

→ Elements of UCCD Problem (continued)

Inequality constraints $\mathbb{E}[\bar{g}_i(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}})]$ can be modeled in different ways:

- **Nominal:** $g_i(t, \mathbf{u}_N, \boldsymbol{\xi}_N, \mathbf{p}_N, \mathbf{d}_N) \leq 0$
 - ✓ Nominal rough road profile used for CCD active suspension¹
- **Expected value:** $g_{\mu,i}(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \leq 0$
 - ✓ Risk neutral expected value bidding model used for wind power²
- **Higher-order moments** (often with mean value): $\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[g_i(\cdot)^2] - g_{\mu,i}(\cdot)^2} = g_{i,\sigma}(\cdot) \leq \sigma_{a,i}$
 - ✓ Aircraft robust trajectory optimization performed using higher-order moments³

¹ Allison, Guo, and Han 2014 ² AlAshery and Qiao 2018 ³ X. Li et al. 2014

→ Elements of UCCD Problem (continued)

- **Probabilistic chance-constrained:** $\mathbb{P}[g_i(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \geq 0] \leq \mathbb{P}_{f,i}$
 - ✓ Trajectory optimization of robotic spacecraft simulator¹
- **Worst-case** is a conservative approach whose solution is feasible for all realizations within the uncertainty set \mathcal{R} : $\max_{(\cdot) \in \mathcal{R}} \{g_i(t, \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{d})\} \leq 0$
 - ✓ Robust UCCD of an aircraft thermal management system²
- Other characterizations are possible including usage of expected utility theory, evidence theory, min-max regret, and possibilistic formulations

¹ Nakka and Chung 2022 ² Nash, Pangborn, and Jain 2021

③

Solution Strategies

→ Solution Methods

- Uncertainty propagation (UP) methods are needed to solve UCCD problems with probabilistic representation of uncertainties
- In UCCD literature, UP methods are based on
 - **Sampling** methods, such as Monte Carlo Simulation¹
 - **Local expansion** methods, such as first-order second moment (FOSM)²
 - **Functional expansion** methods such as generalized Polynomial Chaos (gPC)³
 - **Most-probable point** methods such as first-order reliability method (FORM)⁴
- The **worst-case robust** approach has been used when uncertainties in the UCCD problem are represented as crisp sets⁵

¹ Azad and Herber 2022a ² Azad and Alexander-Ramos 2020a; Azad and Alexander-Ramos 2021 ³ Azad and Herber 2022a; Behtash and Alexander-Ramos 2021 ⁴ Azad and Alexander-Ramos 2020b; Cui, Allison, and Wang 2020; Cui, Allison, and Wang 2021 ⁵ Nash, Pangborn, and Jain 2021; Nash and Jain 2019

→ Some Potential Future Directions for UCCD Research

- **Comparing** different UCCD formulations and solution strategies to gain insights into questions regarding computational time, scalability, and impact
- Identifying additional solution strategies, with an emphasis on **time-dependent**, **possibilistic**, and **hybrid** representation of uncertainties
- Discovering efficient methods for solving UCCD problems of **complex and large-scale systems** by
 - ✓ Improving **guidelines on selecting solution strategies** for different classes of problems
 - ✓ Investigating **decomposition methods** for more efficient UCCD problem structure

→ References

- M. K. AlAshery and W. Qiao (2018). “Risk management for optimal wind power bidding in an electricity market: A comparative study”. *North American Power Symposium*. DOI: 10.1109/NAPS.2018.8600680
- J. T. Allison, T. Guo, and Z. Han (2014). “Co-design of an active suspension using simultaneous dynamic optimization”. *J. Mech. Design* 136.8. doi: 10.1115/1.4027335. DOI: 10.1115/1.4027335
- J. T. Allison and D. R. Herber (2014). “Multidisciplinary design optimization of dynamic engineering systems”. *AIAA J.* 52.4. doi: 10.2514/1.J052182
- L. Andrieu, G. Cohen, and F. Vázquez-Abad (2007). “Stochastic programming with probability Constraint”. arXiv:0708.0281
- S. Azad and M. J. Alexander-Ramos (2021). “Robust combined design and control optimization of hybrid-electric vehicles using MDSDO”. *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.* 70.5. DOI: 10.1109/TVT.2021.3071863
- S. Azad and M. J. Alexander-Ramos (2020a). “Robust MDSDO for co-design of stochastic dynamic systems”. *J. Mech. Design* 142.1. doi: 10.1115/1.4044430

→ References (continued)

- S. Azad and D. R. Herber (2022a). “Investigations into Uncertain Control Co-design Implementations for Stochastic in Expectation and Worst-case Robust”. *International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition*. IMECE2022-95229. doi: 10.1115/IMECE2022-95229
- S. Azad and M. J. Alexander-Ramos (2020b). “A single-loop reliability-based MDSO formulation for combined design and control optimization of stochastic dynamic systems”. *J. Mech. Design* 143.2. doi: 10.1115/1.4047870
- S. Azad and D. R. Herber (2022b). “Control Co-Design Under Uncertainties: formulations”. *International Design Engineering Technical Conferences*. DETC2022-89507. doi: 10.1115/DETC2022-89507
- M. Behtash and M. J. Alexander-Ramos (2021). “A reliability-based formulation for simulation-based control co-design using generalized polynomial chaos expansion”. *Journal of Mechanical Design* 144.5. doi: 10.1115/1.4052906
- F. J. Bejarano, L. M. Fridman, and A. S. Poznyak (2009). “Output integral sliding mode for min-max optimization of multi-plant linear uncertain systems”. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Contr.* 54.11. doi: 10.1109/TAC.2009.2031718

→ References (continued)

- A. Bemporad and M. Morari (1999). “Robust model predictive control: A survey”. *Robustness in identification and control. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Science*. Springer. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0109870
- H.-G. Beyer and B. Sendhoff (2007). “Robust optimization—a comprehensive survey”. *Comput. Method. Appl. M.* 196.33–34. doi: 10.1016/j.cma.2007.03.003
- R. A. Briggs (2019). “Normative Theories of Rational Choice: Expected Utility”. *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Ed. by E. N. Zalta. Fall 2019. Metaphysics Research Lab, Stanford University
- A. E. Bryson and Y.-C. Ho (1975). *Applied Optimal Control*. doi: 10.1201/9781315137667. Taylor & Francis
- T. Cui, J. T. Allison, and P. Wang (2021). “Reliability-based control co-design of horizontal axis wind turbines”. *Struct. Multidiscipl. Optim.* 64. doi: 10.1007/s00158-021-03046-3
- — (2020). “A comparative study of formulations and algorithms for reliability-based co-design problems”. *J. Mech. Design* 142.3. doi: 10.1115/1.4045299
- D. R. Herber and J. T. Allison (2019). “Nested and simultaneous solution strategies for general combined plant and control design problems”. *J. Mech. Design* 141.1. doi: 10.1115/1.4040705

→ References (continued)

- X. Hu, B. Xu, and C. Hu (2018). “Robust adaptive fuzzy control for HFV with parameter uncertainty and unmodeled dynamics”. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 65.11. DOI: 10.1109/TIE.2018.2815951
- Y. Kim et al. (2018). “Robust model predictive control with adjustable uncertainty sets”. *IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*. doi: 10.1109/CDC.2018.8619158. DOI: 10.1109/CDC.2018.8619158
- X. Li et al. (2014). “Aircraft robust trajectory optimization using nonintrusive polynomial chaos”. *J. Aircraft* 51.5. doi: 10.2514/1.C032474
- B. Liu (2002). “Toward fuzzy optimization without mathematical ambiguity”. *Fuzzy Optim. Decis. Ma.* 1.1. doi: 10.1023/A:1013771608623
- R. Malak, B. Baxter, and C. Hsiao (2015). “A decision-based perspective on assessing system robustness”. *Procedia Comput. Sci.* 44. doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2015.03.069. DOI: 10.1016/j.procs.2015.03.069
- C. Mattson and A. Messac (2003). “Handling equality constraints in robust design optimization”. *AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS/ASC Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference*. DOI: 10.2514/6.2003-1780

→ References (continued)


- Z. K. Nagy and R. D. Braatz (2004). “Open-loop and closed-loop robust optimal control of batch processes using distributional and worst-case analysis”. *J. Process Contr.* 14.4. DOI: 10.1016/j.jprocont.2003.07.004
- Y. K. Nakka and S.-J. Chung (2022). “Trajectory Optimization of Chance-Constrained Nonlinear Stochastic Systems for Motion Planning Under Uncertainty”. *IEEE Trans. Robot.* DOI: 10.1109/TRO.2022.3197072
- A. L. Nash and N. Jain (2019). “Combined plant and control co-design for robust disturbance rejection in thermal-fluid systems”. *IEEE. Trans. Control Syst. Technol.* 28.6. DOI: 10.1109/TCST.2019.2931493
- A. L. Nash, H. C. Pangborn, and N. Jain (2021). “Robust control co-design with receding-horizon MPC”. *American Control Conference.* doi: 10.23919/ACC50511.2021.9483216
- P. N. Paraskevopoulos (2017). *Modern Control Engineering.* CRC Press
- S. Rahal and Z. Li (2021). “Norm induced polyhedral uncertainty sets for robust linear optimization”. *Optim. Eng.* doi: 10.1007/s11081-021-09659-3
- A. Schöbel (2014). “Generalized light robustness and the trade-off between robustness and nominal quality”. *Math. Methods Oper. Res.* 80.2. doi: 10.1007/s00186-014-0474-9. DOI: 10.1007/s00186-014-0474-9


→ References (continued)

- J. Yang et al. (2011). “Robust control of nonlinear MAGLEV suspension system with mismatched uncertainties via DOBC approach”. *ISA Trans.* 50.3. doi: 10.1016/j.isatra.2011.01.006
- J. Yong (2020). “Stochastic Optimal Control—A Concise Introduction”. *Math. Control. Relat. Fields.* doi: 10.3934/mcrf.2020027
- X. Zhang et al. (2017). “Robust optimal control with adjustable uncertainty sets”. *Automatica* 75. doi: 10.1016/j.automatica.2016.09.016
- Y. Zhu (2009). “A fuzzy optimal control model”. *J. Uncertain Syst.* 3.4

Questions?

Overview of Uncertain Control Co-Design
NSF Workshop on Control Co-Design Research

 Saeed Azad

 Colorado State University

 saeed.azad@colostate.edu



④

Appendix A

→ Representation of Uncertainties


Each element in a UCCD problem belongs to one of four sets:

- Time-independent deterministic \mathcal{D}
- Time-dependent deterministic

$$\mathcal{D}_t := \{x(t) \mid t \in [t_0, t_f], x(t) \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

- Time-independent uncertain \mathcal{U}
- Time-dependent uncertain

$$\mathcal{U}_t := \{\tilde{x}(t) \mid t \in [t_0, t_f], \tilde{x}(t) \in \mathcal{U}\}$$



→ Deterministic CCD

Here, we introduce the nominal continuous-time, deterministic, all-at-once (AAO), simultaneous, CCD problem¹:

- $\mathbf{u}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$ is open-loop control
- $\boldsymbol{\xi}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_s}$ is state
- $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p}$ is time-independent optimization variables:
 - \mathbf{p}_p plant optimization variables
 - \mathbf{p}_c is control gains
- $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_d}$ is problem data

Deterministic CCD

$$\text{minimize: }_{\mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{p}} \quad o = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \ell(t, \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{d}) dt + m(\mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}_f, \mathbf{d})$$

$$\text{subject to: } \quad \mathbf{g}(t, \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}_f, \mathbf{d}) \leq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{h}(t, \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}_f, \mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}_f, \mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\text{where: } \quad \boldsymbol{\xi}(t_0) = \boldsymbol{\xi}_0, \quad \boldsymbol{\xi}(t_f) = \boldsymbol{\xi}_f, \quad \mathbf{u}(t) = \mathbf{u}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}(t) = \boldsymbol{\xi}, \quad \mathbf{d}(t) = \mathbf{d}$$

¹ Allison and Herber 2014; Herber and Allison 2019

→ A Generalized UCCD Formulation

Without any loss of generality, a generalized UCCD formulation can be defined in probability space¹:

- $\tilde{\bullet}$ is a time-independent uncertain variable
- $\tilde{\bullet}(t)$ is a stochastic process
- $\bar{\bullet}(\cdot)$ is a function composition of $\bullet(\cdot)$, e.g.,
 - $\bar{o}(\cdot)$ is a function of the original objective function $o(\cdot)$
 - $\bar{g}(\cdot)$ is a function of the original inequality constraint vector $g(\cdot)$

A Universal UCCD Formulation

$$\text{minimize: } \mathbb{E} \left[\bar{o}(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \right]$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}$$

$$\text{subject to: } \mathbb{E} \left[\bar{\mathbf{g}}(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \right] \leq \mathbf{0}$$

$$\mathbf{h}(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\dot{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}}(t) - \mathbf{f}(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) = \mathbf{0}$$


$$\text{where: } \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}(t) = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{d}}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{d}}$$

$$\tilde{\bullet} \in \mathcal{V}_u, \quad \tilde{\bullet}(t) \in \mathcal{T}_u(t)$$

¹ Azad and Herber 2022b

→ Specialized Formulations

Six specialized formulations can be derived from the generalized UCCD formulation on Slide 19



→ Stochastic Expectation and Stochastic Chance-Constrained

Stochastic in Expectation UCCD¹

$$\underset{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}}{\text{minimize:}} \quad o_{\mu}(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}})$$


$$\text{subject to:} \quad \mathbf{g}_{\mu}(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \leq \mathbf{0} \\ (t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \in \mathcal{E}$$

Stochastic Chance-Constrained UCCD²

$$\underset{\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}}{\text{minimize:}} \quad o_{\mu}(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}})$$

$$\text{subject to:} \quad \mathbb{P}[g_i(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) > 0] \leq \mathbb{P}_{f,i} \\ i = 1, \dots, n_g \\ (t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \in \mathcal{E}$$

Uncertain Probabilistic Constraint



¹ Andrieu, Cohen, and Vázquez-Abad 2007 ² Azad and Alexander-Ramos 2020b

→ Probabilistic Robust UCCD

Probabilistic Robust UCCD¹


minimize: $\alpha_w o_\mu(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) + (1 - \alpha_w) o_\sigma(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}})$
 $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}$

subject to: $\mathbf{g}_\mu(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) + k_s \mathbf{g}_\sigma(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \leq \mathbf{0}$
 $(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \in \mathcal{E}$

minimize: $\alpha_w o_\mu(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) + (1 - \alpha_w) o_\sigma(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}})$
 $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}$

subject to: $\mathbf{g}_\mu(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \leq \mathbf{0}$
 $\mathbf{g}_\sigma(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) - \sigma_a \leq \mathbf{0}$
 $(t, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}, \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \tilde{\mathbf{d}}) \in \mathcal{E}$

PR Constraint



¹ Nagy and Braatz 2004; Azad and Alexander-Ramos 2021; X. Li et al. 2014

→ Worst-Case Robust UCCD

- A solution is robust if it remains feasible for all uncertainty realizations **within the uncertainty set**
- Resembles a game between the optimizer and adversarial opponent¹
- Uncertainties belong to their associated sets²

$$\mathcal{R}(\hat{q}) = \{\mathcal{R}(\hat{p}) \times \mathcal{R}(\hat{d})\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{\text{crisp}}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_i(\hat{q}) = \{\mathcal{R}(\hat{u}) \times \mathcal{R}(\hat{\xi}) \times \mathcal{R}(\hat{d})\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{\text{crisp}}(t)$$

¹ Bryson and Ho 1975 ² Rahal and Z. Li 2021

Worst-case Robust UCCD

minimize: v
 $\hat{u}, \hat{\xi}, \hat{p}$

subject to: $\Phi_i(t, \hat{u}, \hat{\xi}, \hat{p}, \hat{d}) \leq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n_g$

$(t, \hat{u}, \hat{\xi}, \hat{p}, \hat{d}) \in \mathcal{E}$

$\psi(\hat{u}, \hat{\xi}, \hat{p}, \hat{d}) \leq 0$

$\Phi_i(t, \hat{u}, \hat{\xi}, \hat{p}, \hat{d})$:

maximize: $g_i(t, u, \xi, p, d)$
 u, ξ, p, d

subject to: $(t, u, \xi, p, d) \in \mathcal{E}$

$(u, \xi, d) \in \mathcal{R}_i(\hat{q}_t)$

$p \in \mathcal{R}(\hat{q})$

→ Fuzzy Expected Value and Possibilistic Chance-Constrained

Fuzzy Expected Value UCDD¹

$$\text{minimize: } \mathbb{E}[o(t, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{d})]$$
$$\tilde{u}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{p}$$

$$\text{subject to: } \mathbb{E}[\bar{g}(t, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{d})] \leq \mathbf{0}$$
$$(t, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{d}) \in \mathcal{E}$$

Possibilistic Chance-Constrained²

$$\text{minimize: } \mathbb{E}[o(t, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{d})]$$
$$\tilde{u}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{p}$$

$$\text{subject to: } \text{POS}[g_i(t, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{d}) > 0] \leq \text{POS}_{f,i}$$
$$(t, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{d}) \in \mathcal{E}$$

¹ Zhu 2009; Liu 2002 ² Liu 2002

⑤

Appendix B

→ Discussion

Norm-Induced Sets

- Generally, norm-induced uncertainty sets are used in WCR formulations:

$$\mathcal{N} := \{\mathbf{q} \mid z(\hat{\mathbf{q}} - \mathbf{q}) \leq \eta_q\}$$

- $z(\cdot)$ is a specified (norm) function that represents the geometry of the uncertainty set¹
- The size of the uncertainty set η_q can be a modeling choice, so one can optimally leverage the uncertainty set's size, shape, and structure to obtain a meaningful solution for a given metric through **adjustable uncertainty sets**².
- To avoid the conservativeness of the WCR, other formulations such as the *min-max* regret have been developed³

Linking SCC and WCR Formulations


- Strict satisfaction of (infinitely many) hard constraints in WC is equivalent to the satisfaction of probabilistic constraints in SCC with an infinitesimally small failure probability

¹ Rahal and Z. Li 2021 ² Zhang et al. 2017; Kim et al. 2018 ³ Schöbel 2014

→ Discussion (continued)

Robustness in the PR-UCCD Formulation

- PR-UCCD is not always a risk-averse formulation¹



- Such limitations are addressed through concepts from normative decision theory such as representation theorems that result in a mathematical description of decision-maker's preferences through a utility function²

Insights From:

- Robust control theory³, stochastic control theory⁴, model predictive control⁵ should be used when appropriate

¹ Azad and Herber 2022b; Malak, Baxter, and Hsiao 2015


² Briggs 2019; Malak, Baxter, and Hsiao 2015

³ Paraskevopoulos 2017 ⁴ Yong 2020 ⁵ Bemporad and Morari 1999

→ Discussion (continued)

Open-Loop Optimal Control Structure

- **Open-loop single-control (OLSC)** finds a single control command and is related to concepts from robust control theory and centralized control¹
- **Open-loop multiple-control (OLMC)** elicits a range of optimal control responses based on the realization of uncertainties and is related to concepts from decentralized control



¹ Azad and Herber 2022a; Bejarano, Fridman, and Poznyak 2009