

Engineering Student Technology Committee

Meeting of February 10, 2004
Engineering Conference Room

- Present: Bryce Eldridge (ECE), Ryan Fleming (CE), Derek Johnson (ChemE), Shawn Klawitter (ChemE), Klaus Hartinger (ECE), Dave Miller (ChemE), Mark Ritschard (ENS), Assoc. Dean Tom Siller (Acad. Affairs), Miranda Grote (intra), Liz Lipp (intra), Heidi Shray (ECE)
- Absent: David Bryant (ME), Michelle L'Heureux (Atmos), Arun Nair (CE), Chris Rozoff (Atmos), Tim Hinerman (ME), Prof. Hiroshi Sakurai (ME) Doug Hopper (ME), Prof. Kevin Lear (ECE), Kate McDonnell (ECE), Prof. Ranil Wickramasinghe (ChemE), Tony Zancanella (CE)

-Welcome and Introductions

-Updates
None

-Scholarships

Three people emailed Klawitter with suggestions for the division of the 52,000 scholarship allotment. Lear wrote with the suggestion that the scholarship allocation remain the same it was; the scholarships waived the technology fee (\$75) for students that displayed financial aid based on the FAFSA application. Hinerman proposed a division with larger sums of money. The proposal included 20 \$1,000 scholarships based solely on merit, 20 \$1,000 scholarships need/merit based, and 40 \$300 scholarships based upon financial need alone. Ritschard divided the money in a more complex manner. The original proposal by Ritschard was modified by the committee yielding the following breakdown:

- 7 \$1000 scholarships merit-based (5 undergrad/2 grad)
- 14 \$1400 scholarships need/merit-based (11 undergrad/3 grad)
- 10 \$1000 scholarships need-based (all undergrad)
- 25 \$500 scholarships need-based (17 undergrad/8 grad)

-Fleming moved to accept the modified proposal given by Ritschard (above), and the motion was seconded by Hartinger. The scholarship division was passed by a unanimous vote.

-Ritschard will speak with University officials to approve the scholarship division.

-Siller offered assistance from the college of engineering in the selection process, including the use of the University Scholarship Application for the merit-based scholarships, as well as the need/merit-based. It was noted that the committee must recognize and try to avoid students from being double-dipped when awarding the

scholarships.

-Someone moved that the need/merit-based scholarships be scaled so the need contributed 60% to the overall score with 40% consideration be given to merit. The motion was seconded and passed unanimously.

-Selection criteria for the scholarships was tabled until the next meeting.

-Budget

The budget was tabled.

-Next meeting

The next meeting will be Tuesday, February 24, 2003, at 8 AM in the Engineering Conference Room

Submitted by

Ryan Fleming