

Engineering Student Technology Committee (ESTC):
(<http://www.engr.colostate.edu/ESTC/>)

Meeting minutes for April 9, 2003 - Eng. Conference Room - 7:45am

Present: Derek Akerhielm (ChemE), Prof. Garcia (CE), Miranda Grote (intra)
Tim Hinerman (ME), Shawn Klawitter (ChemE), Kate McDonnell (ECE),
Mark Ritschard (ENS), Prof. Siller (Academic Affairs),
David Wiegandt (ECE) - chair, Prof. Wilmsen (ECE),

Absent: Kat Christian (CE), Morgan Defoort (ME), Michael Flick (CE),
David Hodge (ChemE), Jennifer Meints (intra), J. P. Murray (ECE),
Arun Nair (CE), Derek Reding (ME), Prof. Sakurai (ME),
Prof. Wickramasinghe (ChemE)

- Corrections to previous meetings minutes (4-2-03)

none

- Finishing the year

Wiegandt noted that the final items for discussion for the year are
1) distributing the strategic funds, 2) developing next year's budget,
and 3) electing a new chair for the committee. The first should
be finalized today and the second will be finalized next week. Because
he will be out of town from April 23 through May 4, next week should
really be the final meeting and a chair needs to be elected. He asked
that nominations for the chair be sent to Ritschard by Monday.

- Distributing strategic funds

Ritschard passed around a spreadsheet (attached) showing the average rankings of the proposals, and Grote submitted a late proposal from the Biomed group. After some discussion, the committee agreed to hold onto the Biomed proposal for strong consideration as part of next year's budget development.

The committee then discussed the proposals starting at the top of the rankings. Each of the top ranking proposals was clarified and further discussed, and the top four proposals were acted upon as follows. For the EECL lab, Garcia moved and Hinerman seconded that \$10K be allocated this year and \$10K next year. The motion passed unanimously. For the Environmental Engineering Lab, Hinerman moved and Garcia seconded that the \$9K of intra-departmental funding be allocated along with \$11K of strategic funds. The motion passed unanimously. For the Computer Power proposal, Garcia moved and Klawitter seconded that \$5K be allocated this year and \$5K next year. The motion passed unanimously. For the Graduate Citrix Farm, Hinerman moved and Klawitter seconded that \$9K be allocated this year and \$5K next year, with ENS supplying the remaining \$1K if needed. The motion passed unanimously. In summary

| | Strategic Funds FY03 | Intra FY03 | Strategic FY04 |
|-----------------|----------------------|------------|----------------|
| EECL lab | \$10K | | \$10K |
| Envir. Lab | \$11K | \$9K | |
| Compute Power | \$5K | | \$5K |
| Graduate Citrix | \$9K | | \$5K |
| ----- | | | |
| | \$35K | \$9K | \$20K |

Wiegandt will notify the proposers of the funding. At the end, Garcia reiterated that Biomed should be given a higher priority in next year's funding.

- GIS lab

Wiegandt has not yet heard a response from Labadie. Siller will check with Dr. Woods. It was reiterated that the committee's position is that funding will continue to be provided if the lab is opened up for general access between 5:00pm and 8:00am.

- Budget

Ritschard distributed a spreadsheet (attached) that shows both the numbers used to justify last year's Lab Equipment Replacement budget and a list of equipment currently installed in each lab. Some corrections were made to the spreadsheet that are included in the attached version. The committee will review the spreadsheet prior to next week with the goal of determining what equipment will be funded by the committee, what changes might be made in the equipment, and what level of funding the committee wishes to commit. In particular, committee members should talk with their constituents and use the attached spreadsheet to experiment with the numbers. Ritschard also distributed charts showing historic levels of funding from the committee and encouraged the committee to review the ESTC web pages

for further context:

<http://www.engr.colostate.edu/committees/ESTC/overview.shtml>

The next meeting will be Wednesday, April 16, at 7:45am.

Respectfully submitted by

Mark Ritschard



Proposal Rankings FY03.xls



Labs Budget Planning for FY04.xls

| Proposal # | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | Average | Trim Mean | 2nd Trim Mean | Cost | Running \$ | Comments |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|-----|---|-----|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------|-----------|---------------|-----------|------------|--|
| 1. EECL Lab | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 2.13 | 1.77 | 1.45 | 35,000.00 | 35,000.00 | allocate \$10K from this year and \$10K from next year |
| 8. Env. Eng. Lab | 9 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 1.5 | 2 | 1.5 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3.87 | 3.69 | 3.45 | 30,000.00 | 65,000.00 | allocate \$9K from intra-college, and \$10K from strategic; no allocation from next year's funding |
| 5. Compute Power | 5 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4.53 | 4.54 | 4.55 | 5,000.00 | 70,000.00 | allocate \$5K from this year and \$5K from next year |
| 4. Graduate Citrix Farm | 4 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 5.00 | 4.85 | 4.73 | 15,000.00 | 85,000.00 | allocate \$10K from this year and \$5K from next year |
| 7. Graphics Cards | 8 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5.27 | 5.31 | 5.27 | 20,000.00 | 105,000.00 | no strategic funding; make them part of the regular replacement process |
| 9. T:classes space | 6 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 5.40 | 5.46 | 5.55 | 14,000.00 | 119,000.00 | |
| 3. Student org workstations | 3 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 5.67 | 5.69 | 5.73 | 6,000.00 | 125,000.00 | allocate used equipment from student labs ("new" ones every year?) |
| 6. Computer Desks | 7 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 6.53 | 6.69 | 6.91 | 10,000.00 | 135,000.00 | allocate \$10K per year until we have enough desks; great long-term strategy |
| 2. Scrolling marquees | 2 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 6.60 | 6.77 | 7.00 | 2,500.00 | 137,500.00 | not really a strategic proposal |

Charges for Technology

College of Engineering

Budget Planning for Fiscal Year 2004

Budget: **Central Services & Systems**

Category: **Computer Lab Equipment Replacement**

Information used for 2002-2003

| | computers | printers | cost | |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|---------|--------------------|
| AERC | 5 | 1 | 10,400 | |
| Allison Hall | 4 | 0 | 7,200 | |
| Anderson Lab | 80 | 3 | 148,200 | |
| Design Studio | 42 | 7 | 85,400 | |
| Electronic Classroom | 35 | 1 | 64,400 | |
| ERC lab | 10 | 1 | 19,400 | |
| GIS lab | 21 | 1 | 39,200 | |
| Internet Café | 26 | 1 | 48,200 | Annual Cost |
| | 223 | 15 | 422,400 | 105,600 |
| average computer | 1,800 | | | |
| average printer | 1,400 | | | |

| Current Lab Equipment | graphics | thin | 19" flat | 21" | 19" | digital | | | | | Equip. | Avg. | Annual 4yr | |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------------|----------|-------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|---------|------------|--------|---------|------------|------------|
| | computers | workstations | clients | panel | Trinitron | Trinitron | printers | scanners | senders | projectors | plasma | Value | life cycle | Repl. Cost |
| AERC | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,250 | 4.1 | 2,994 |
| Allison Hall | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,150 | 4.0 | 1,788 |
| Anderson Lab | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 156,370 | 4.0 | 38,921 |
| Design Studio | 42 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 143,230 | 4.1 | 34,663 |
| Technical Shop | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,900 | 4.0 | 1,975 |
| Electronic Classroom | 0 | 13 | 22 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 119,600 | 4.4 | 27,937 |
| ERC lab | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,200 | 4.0 | 4,496 |
| GIS lab | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 47,200 | 4.0 | 11,733 |
| Internet Café | 1 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 56,450 | 4.0 | 14,049 |
| | 158 | 13 | 59 | 26 | 98 | 107 | 21 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 568,350 | 4.1 | 137,956 |
| | <i>Cost</i> | <i>life cycle</i> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| computer | 1,300 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| graphics workstation | 5,500 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| thin-client | 900 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19" flat panel display | 900 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21" Trinitron monitor | 600 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19" Trinitron monitor | 450 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| printer | 3,500 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| scanner | 430 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| digital sender | 2,400 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| projector | 3,800 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| plasma display | 14,000 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

(all costs included: dual server (UNIX & Win) support and all licenses)