Engineering Student Technology Committee

Meeting minutes for April 4, 2001 - Engineering Conference Room - 8:00am

Present: Tim Feather (ME), Jennifer Flint (ECE), Eric Mui (ECE), Mark Ritschard (ENS), Jason Smith (intra), David Wiegandt (ECE)

Absent: Torrey Burgess (ME), Prof. Dandy (ChemE), Prof. Fitzhorn (ME), Alejandro Flores (CE), Dr. Gessler (Assoc. Dean), David Hodge (ChemE), Mike Holland (ME), Prof. Labadie (CE), Prof. Nassar (ECE), Lance Parker (ChemE), Jenny Po (ChemE), Bethany Schileman (CE), Marcel Zibognon (CE)

- Introductions and attendance

- Corrections to previous meetings minutes (3-14-00)
  *none*

- Faculty Printing

  From a note sent by Ritschard to the committee on 4/2/01:
  "The question: 'Should faculty be permitted to print to printers that are entirely funded by the student charge for technology and, if so, should the use be limited?'

  "Current situation: Faculty have been printing to student funded printers for several years. However, the issue came to the forefront because faculty were printing a large number of color documents in the Lockheed Martin Design Studio generating a large expense incurred by students.

  "Consideration: I asked the University Charges for Technology Committee, the university entity in charge of Charges for Technology, for their input on this issue. The feedback I received will be sent to you as separate message
following this one.

"Goal: Clearly define the use of student funded printers so that ENS can clearly communicate to the faculty and set expectations."

The feedback received by Ritschard from the University Charges for Technology Committee was distributed to this committee via e-mail on 4/2/01. It was noted by the committee that all of the feedback received, save one exception, clearly indicated that faculty use of student funded printers is not consistent with State Board of Agriculture guidelines for the student Charge for Technology.

However, all present agreed that the printing resources funded by students should not be entirely closed to faculty because, in some cases, the printers are the only ones of their kind in the college. Ritschard noted that the upcoming printing quota system could be expanded to include faculty. Smith moved and Feather seconded that faculty printing to student funded printers should be allowed, but that a charge for printing via the print quota system should be implemented. The motion passed 5-0 with Ritschard abstaining. As a result, faculty may purchase quota on the student printing system and then have open access to all student funded printers.

- Setting the Student Quota
  Ritschard asked that the committee clarify the quota system to be implemented this summer. In particular, the question remained from last year whether all students would receive the same quota or whether some students would, by virtue of their status, receive more quota. The committee decided that all students will receive the same initial quota.

- Allocation to departments
  It was agreed that some maintenance costs should be allocated to departments. The committee discussed allocating on a percentage basis vs. a fixed amount. After some discussion, Wieandt moved and Smith seconded that $23,000 should be set aside for maintenance costs, with each of the four departments on campus (ChemE, CE, ECE, and ME) receiving an allocation of $5,000 and intra-departmental majors receiving $3,000. The motion passed 6-0. The resulting allocated funds for FY02 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assistive Technology</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Expenses</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Services &amp; Systems</td>
<td>$226,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Allocations</td>
<td>$118,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholarships</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unallocated (including long-term)</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

  Three notes: 1) the Department Allocations include the $30,000 moved from Central Services & Systems, 2) Scholarships includes a mandatory 10% plus an adjustment suggested by the State Board to make up for allocating less than 10% this year and 3) the unallocated amount will likely be more because of increased enrollments. The amount listed is a minimum.

- Vote in next ESTC chair
Tabled until next meeting.

The next meeting will be Wednesday, April 18.

Submitted by
Mark Ritschard

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Mark R. Ritschard ritschrd@engr.colostate.edu
Director, Engineering Network Services tel: (970) 491-7954
Colorado State University fax: (801) 409-7640
Fort Collins, CO 80523-1301

"He is no fool, who gives what he cannot keep, to gain what he cannot lose."
- James Elliott