

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ROBOTICS AND AUTOMATION
Review form for **REGULAR & SHORT** papers (Confidential when Completed)

Paper No. **H95000**

Paper Type **Regular**

Title: **Test Title**

Author: **I.M. Brainy**

Referee Code _____

Assessment: Please rate the paper according to the following criteria, on a scale of 1-5, with 5 being the highest rating.

Please return before _____ to:

Technical Quality:	1	2	3	4	5
Relevance:	1	2	3	4	5
Significance:	1	2	3	4	5
Originality:	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation:	1	2	3	4	5
Adequacy of Citations:	1	2	3	4	5
Overall:	1	2	3	4	5
Other factors (identify):	1	2	3	4	5

Recommendation (use codes as indicated)

_____ **ACCEPT** as a **REGULAR (AR)** or **SHORT (AS)** paper provided that all typographic errors and minor recommendations are corrected in the final draft. (Any such changes are marked on the copy of the paper enclosed. One of the editors should be able to verify that these changes have been made.)

_____ Should this paper be considered for the **Best Paper Award**?

_____ **CONDITIONALLY ACCEPT** as a **REGULAR (CR)** or **SHORT (CS)** paper, provided that minor revisions are made as indicated in the "Comments to Authors" on the next page. (The editors may decide to request reviewers' assistance in verifying the changes. If you believe reviewer verification to be especially important, please indicate in the "Confidential Comments" below.)

_____ **REVISE AND RESUBMIT** as **REGULAR (RR)** or **SHORT (RS)** paper as indicated in the "Comments to Authors" on the next page.

_____ **REJECT THE PAPER (R)** (Future submissions of this work to the Transactions will be treated as substantially new papers. Depending on circumstances, the editors may choose to include previous reviews of the rejected paper in any subsequent review of revised versions.)

_____ Submit to another journal _____

Confidential Comments (for Editors only, continue on back if necessary):

Reviewer's Name/Affiliation/Address (print or affix label)

Actual Reviewer's Signature
(if different from assigned reviewer)

Date

Assigned Reviewer's Name/printed

Daytime phone/fax: _____

Assigned Reviewer's Signature

Date

Email address : _____

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Referee Code _____

Paper Number H 95000

Author L.M. Brainy

Title **Test** Title

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON ROBOTICS AND AUTOMATION

1. Please respect the deadline indicated on the review form. As an author, you undoubtedly appreciate the importance of minimizing delays. The paper selection procedure for the *Transactions* involves a tentative publication recommendation (TPR) which is prepared by the Associate Editor on the basis of paper reviews. The final decision on publication, sustaining or modifying the TPR, is taken by the Editorial Board of the *Transactions*. If you do not have the time to personally review the paper, please see if one of your qualified associates or students can review the paper, or else return the paper via first class or air mail immediately. In the latter case, suggestions of names or alternate reviewers are appreciated.
2. Please prepare your comments to the author using the enclosed form and additional plain sheets if necessary. Please do not identify yourself or your organization. The following points are suggested for your comments: (A) What is the contribution of the paper? (B) Does the author explain the significance of the paper? (C) Is the paper clearly written and well organized? (D) Does the introduction state the purpose of the paper? (E) Are the references relevant and complete? Supply missing references. (F) If the paper is not technically sound, why not? (G) If the paper is too long, how can it be shortened? Please supply any information that you think will be useful to the author in revision, in enhancing the appeal of the paper, or in convincing him of his mistakes. **The reviewer's recommendation for acceptance or rejection should appear only on Page 1 of the review form and should not be included in the comments to the author. It is very important that the reviewer include at least a few sentences justifying their recommendation.**
3. **In your critical comments to the author, please be specific.** If you find that the results are already known, please give references to earlier papers which contain these or similar results. If you say that the reasoning is incorrect or vague, please indicate specifically where and why. If you suggest that the paper be rewritten, give specific suggestions as to which parts of the paper should be deleted, amplified or modified, and please indicate how.
4. It is sometimes the case that papers are passed on to graduate students for review. Some very excellent reviews sometimes result from this. It is important, though, that the quality and professionalism of the review be maintained. **If you pass the paper on to a student to review, please check the review personally and countersign the review form where indicated.**

