## Lecture 42 <br> Circuit Averaging Models

A. Circuit Averaging of Three Key PWM dc-dc

Converters

1. Boost dc-dc Converter Time Invariant Circuit Model
a. Switching or Computation cycle <> Ts average
b. Perturb and linearize independent variables
(1) Primary: dependent $V$ source
(2) Secondary: dependent I source
(3) Combine I/O circuits to achieve
(a) One ac/dc transformer
model with dependent sources
(b) Two independent sources
2. Buck dc-dc Converter Time Invariant

Circuit Model
a. Choice of ind. and dep. variables and <> Ts
b. Perturb, Linearize, and Neglect Second Order Effects
(1) Dependent I source in primary
(2) Dependent $V$ source in secondary
(3) Combine I/O to achieve one AC/DC transformer
3. Buck-Boost dc-dc Time Invariant Circuit Model
a. HW 7.12, 7.17 for grad. students only
4. Comparison of Three Different Average Small Signal Switch Models

## B. Review of (Switch/Circuit) Averaging

 1. Methodology of Switch Averaging2. Three Basic Converters

# C. Dynamic Switching Losses Revisited for HW 

 Pbm. 7.151. Piecewise Linear Approximation
2. Buck Converter Dynamic Switching Loss
a. Dependent, indep. variables
b. <>Ts of dependent variables
c. $I_{\text {sink }}$ (loss) on output circuit
d. $\mathrm{V}_{\text {sink }}$ (loss) on output circuit
e. Ideal vs. lossy $\mathrm{V}_{0} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and $\eta$

All students for HW\#3 go through the solution Pbm. 7.15 outline and fill in the missing portions and hand it in.

## Lecture 42

## Circuit Averaging Models

A. Circuit Averaging of Three Key PWM dc-dc

Converters

1. Boost dc-dc Converter Time Invariant Circuit Model
a. General Comments on Circuit Averaging

Circuit Averaging is a second method to derive the SAME AC converter models. It also must be done for each circuit topology separately. We will average the boost, buck, and buck-boost waveforms and topologies, rather than the circuit differential equations. It is amazingly simple, allowing the creation of the AC model by inspection. It also has broad applicability. It works not only for dc-dc converters but also for:
-Resonant Converters
-Three Phase inverters and Rectifiers
-Phase Controlled Rectifiers
-All DCM models as well as CCM Models

- Current Controlled Converters

The main idea is to replace the time varying switches with

time invariant voltage and current sources. That is be break out the time invariant circuit from the switch network as shown above.

We can make some general comments before starting the process of circuit averaging.
The definition of independent inputs is very arbitrary and depends upon circuit intuition for the initial choice. Some

- The number of ports in the switch network is less than or equal to the number of SPST switches
- Simple dc-dc case, in which converter contains two SPST switches: switch network contains two ports
The switch network terminal waveforms are then the port voltages and currents: $v_{1}(t), i_{1}(t), v_{2}(t)$, and $i_{2}(t)$.
Two of these waveforms can be taken as independent inputs to the switch network; the remaining two waveforms are then viewed as dependent outputs of the switch network.
- Definition of the switch network terminal quantities is not unique.

Different definitions lead equivalent results having different forms
choices result in quicker progress in model formation than others.
b. Boost Converter: Time Invariant Network


The topology of the Two port switch has two ways to be realized

The switched inductor with the right terminal going from ground to $\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}$ will be replaced by a time invariant two port containing: a DC transformer, a dependent voltage source in the input and a dependent current source in the output.

Natural, best, intuitive


Alternative, but not the best

$i_{1}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ are best choices for independent variables and we can readily plot $\mathrm{v}_{2}(\mathrm{t})$ and $\mathrm{i}_{1}(\mathrm{t})$ from circuit conditions.
Moreover, both $v_{2}$ and $i_{1}$ are not varying when on.
The dependent variables then become $v_{2}$ and $i_{1}$.
$\mathrm{v}_{1}=\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\mathrm{i}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}\right)$

$\mathrm{i}_{2}=\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{i}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}\right)$


By <> $>_{\text {s }}$ averaging, this leads to a time invariant switch network topology as described below. That is in anticipation:

Replace the switch network with dependent sources, which correctly represent the dependent output waveforms of the switch network


To get there requires us do the very simple waveform averaging. For the boost converter averaging over $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ (the switching period) with the recognition that we are reemoving the switching harmonics BUT PRESERVING the the low frequency components, $\mathrm{f}<\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{sw}}$. The work required is to average the switch dependent waveforms.


This approach creates a large signal model in which NO APPROXIMATIONS have been made so far.


In essence we have only done the simple step shown below.


## b. Perturbation \& Linearization of Large Signal Model

The large signal model does have non-linear terms arising from the product of two time varying quantities. We can linearize the model by expanding about the operating point and removing second order terms:

As usual, let:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle v_{g}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =V_{g}+\hat{v}_{g}(t) \\
d(t) & =D+\hat{d}(t) \Rightarrow d^{\prime}(t)=D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t) \\
\langle i(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=I+\hat{i}(t) \\
\langle v(t)\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=V+\hat{v}(t) \\
\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =V_{1}+\hat{v}_{1}(t) \\
\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =I_{2}+\hat{i}_{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The circuit becomes:


We will do the linearization in terms of the input and output portions of the circuit separately.
(1) Dependent voltage source in primary
$\stackrel{d^{\prime}=D^{\prime}-d}{ }$


$$
<\mathrm{V}_{2}>_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}+\hat{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{O}}
$$

$$
\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)\right)(V+\hat{v}(t))=D^{\prime}(V+\hat{v}(t))-V \hat{d}(t)-\hat{v}(t) \hat{d}(t)
$$

nonlinear, 2nd order


Multiply out the product terms and neglect second order terms in the input circuit. The result is the new input circuit model:


Small signal model is good for both ac and DC conditions
(2) Dependent I source in secondary


$$
\left(D^{\prime}-d\right)(I+\hat{i})
$$

To simplify, multiply out and neglect second order terms in the output circuit.

$$
\left(D^{\prime}-\hat{d}(t)\right)(I+\hat{i}(t))=D^{\prime}(I+\hat{i}(t))-I \hat{d}(t)-\hat{i}(t) \hat{d}(t)
$$



Result is the new output circuit model:
 DC conditions
(3) Combine the small signal input/output circuits with DC/ac transformer


The dependent linear DC sources are replaced by an equivalent ideal DC transformer. This yields the final DC and small-signal ac circuit-averaged model.

## As a Check:

For a DC model, let $\hat{d} \rightarrow 0$ and we get the old DC only model.

# Now when you see a switched inductor of the boost type you can replace it, by inspection!, by a two port small signal model that is time invariant: 

Circuit averaging of the boost converter: in essence, the switch network was replaced with an effective ideal transformer and generators:


For the boost example, we can conclude that the switch network performs two basic functions:

- Transformation of dc and small-signal ac voltage and current levels, according to the D':1 conversion ratio
- Introduction of ac voltage and current variations, drive by the control input duty cycle variations

Circuit averaging modifies only the switch network. Hence, to obtain a smallsignal converter model, we need only replace the switch network with its averaged model. Such a procedure is called averaged switch modeling.

## 2. Buck Converter Time Invariant Network

The switched inductor with the left side commutating from Vg to ground will be replaced by a time invariant two port containing: a DC transformer, a dependent current source in the primary and a dependent voltage source in the secondary.


Two port switch with four variables

Switch network


Intuitive best choice of independent variables is $i_{2}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{1}$

Dependent variables are $i_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. Again we can intuitively plot both $\dot{i}_{2}(t)$ and $v_{1}(t)$ versus time easily.

$$
\mathrm{i}_{1}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{i}_{2}, \mathrm{v}_{1}\right)
$$

$i_{1}(t)$


$$
v_{2}=f_{y}\left(i_{2}, v_{1}\right)
$$


a. By time averaging over $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ we get a path to a time invariant switch model.

Dependent Independent
where:
$\left\langle\mathrm{i}_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{Ts}}=\mathrm{d}\left\langle\mathrm{i}_{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{Ts}} \quad d=D+\hat{d}$
$\left\langle\mathrm{v}_{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{Ts}}=\mathrm{d}\left\langle\mathrm{V}_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{Ts}}$

The above large signal models are next linearized.
b. Perturb \& linearize, removing $2^{\text {nd }}$ order terms
(1) Dependent I source in primary

Starting point of large signal model:

$\left(D^{\prime}+\hat{d}\right)\left(I_{2}+\hat{i}_{2}\right)$

To simplify, multiply out and neglect second order terms, $\hat{d} \hat{i}$, to get small signal model input circuit.

$$
\Rightarrow D\left(I_{2}+\hat{i}_{2}\right)+I_{2} \hat{d}
$$

Result:


Small signal model good for both ac and DC conditions
(2) Dependent $V$ source in secondary

Starting point is the large signal model:


To simplify, multiply out and neglect second order terms to get small signal output model.

$$
\Rightarrow D\left(V_{1}+\hat{v}_{1}\right)+V_{1} \hat{d}
$$

Result is the new output circuit model:


Small signal model is good for both ac and DC conditions
(3) Combine small signal input/output circuits via ac/DC transformer


Check:
For a DC only model, let $\mathrm{d} \rightarrow 0$ and we get the old DC Buck model of Lecture 5.

## 3. Buck-Boost Converter Time Invariant Network



Independent variables: $\mathrm{V}_{1}$
for sure. Then $I_{1}$ or $I_{2}$ as
both $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ flow in L .
Choose $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ as
independent or ???
Graduate students DC/ac model of buck-boost for Homework \#3-Chapter 7 of Erickson
\#12-answers also can be done for DC Methods
\#17 Buck, Boost, Buck-Boost-include DC loss Ron of TR and $\mathrm{V}_{\text {on }}$ of diode—Hint Fig. 7.35
4. Summary of 3 Switch Averaged Networks/Models for both ac \& DC.


Now, you should be able to recognize these basic switch networks and equivalent circuit models when you encounter them again. Or at least look them up.
$\Rightarrow$ For example, Graduate students HW Prob. \#17 Chapter 7 of Erickson include $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{on}}$. and $\mathrm{V}_{\text {on }}$ models. Below in section C we will outline solution to Erickson Pbm. 7.15.

## B. Methodology of Switch Averaging

We made time independent two port models for the case of two time varying single-pole, single-switches in three circuit topologies. This was done however, only for the CCM of operation. Averaging of waveforms changed only the switch network. This means to obtain AC models we need only REPLACE THE SWITCH with its averaged model. This procedure is called AVERAGED SWITCH MODELING.


Average models only provide information about the low frequency action of a PWM converter. We cannot model ripple, switch commutation and other FAST transients. Still these crude models give insight into DC operation, voltage regulation and dynamic or transient response. Averaged system equations do not show ripple at $\mathrm{f}_{\text {sw }}$.
In its simplest form this involves two broad-brush stroke procedures:

1. Define a switch network and its terminal waveforms. For a simple transistor-diode switch network as in the buck, boost, etc., there are two ports and four terminal quantities: $v_{1}$, $i_{1}, v_{2}, i_{2}$. The switch network also contains a control input $d$. Buck
 example:
2. To derive an averaged switch model, express the average values of two of the terminal quantities, for example $\left\langle v_{2}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ and $\left\langle i_{1}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$, as functions of the other average terminal quantities $\left\langle v_{1}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ and $\left\langle i_{1}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$. $\left\langle v_{2}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ and $\left\langle i_{1}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ may also be functions of the control input $d$, but they should not be expressed in terms of other converter signals.

In Chapter 6 of Erickson we also found a five step method to do so:

1. Choose (two independent/two dependent) variables from the PWM converter circuit depending on where switch lies in the circuit topology - this is an art!
2. Sketch waveforms over $T_{s}$ for the two dependent variables in terms of the independent variables during $D T_{s}$ and $D^{\prime} T_{s}$.

3. Average the dependent variable over the switch period $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and express the average in terms of a function of the duty cycle and circuit parameters $f$ (independent variables, $D, R_{D}$, $\left.V_{D}, R_{o n}\right)$. This yields a set of equations for the ac model.
4. Perturb and linearize the large signal equations of step (3). Place emphasis on the duty cycle changes $d(t)=D(D C)$ $+\hat{d}(\mathrm{ac})$. Neglect higher order terms in both the input circuit and output circuit equations. We are left with only DC and first order ac models for the switch action. Avoid for the moment the fact that switching is NOT a small perturbation about a DC value.
5. Draw input equivalent circuit containing current and voltage sources and the output equivalent circuit. Combine the two for a full switch model. The sources are functions of the dc operating point chosen.

## 6. Review of CCM Models for Switches in PWM dc-dc

 ConvertersThe time varying switch circuits on the left were replaced by time independent averaged switched models on the right. Models were accurate in the range $\mathrm{f} \ll \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{sw}}$. That is, in the infinite switch frequency approximation where the ripple is always triangular and the time derivatives are therefore only constant.

The key is averaging all parameters over the switch cycle,


Resulting averaged switch model: CCM buck converter


Summary of 3 Switch Networks/Models for both ac \& DC:


Buck


Boost


Buck-
Boost

Again notice that for each DC operating point the model values will change compared to another. Why bother with ac models? Because they support control design analysis
based on established linear systems techniques such as Laplace Transforms, Bode plots and Nyquist criteria.

## C. Dynamic Switching Losses Revisited

1. Piecewise Linear Approximation

Previously we included effects only from static state DC losses in the converter AC models:

| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}($ inductor $)$ | $\rightarrow$ new $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\rightarrow \eta\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ | $\leftarrow$ winding loss |

DC device effects:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{on}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{D}} & \rightarrow & \text { new } \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{on}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{~V}_{\text {on }}\right) \\
& \rightarrow & \eta\left(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{on}}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{D}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These DC losses are not transient switch losses. We dealt with transient switch loss by first calculating the energy lost per switch event. We did this via the piecewise linear approximations on the switch wave forms for both TR(on/off) and TR(off-on) transitions between static switch states. We also introduced diode stored charge to better calculate loss due to large transient currents when we go from the transistor-off and diode-on to the transistor-on and diode-off. Lets look now in more detail. If this doesn't ring a bell go back to the notes on solid state switches and refresh. We will now proceed to show how switch loss can be modeled via AVERAGED SWITCH MODELING

## 2. Buck Converter Dynamic Switch Loss



$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{1}(t)=i_{C}(t) \\
& v_{2}(t)=v_{1}(t)-v_{C E}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$



Switch network terminal waveforms: $v_{1}, i_{1}, v_{2}, i_{2}$. To derive averaged switch model, express $\left\langle v_{2}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ and $\left\langle i_{1}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ as functions of $\left\langle v_{1}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ and $\left\langle i_{1}\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \cdot\left\langle v_{2}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ and $\left\langle i_{1}\right\rangle_{T_{s}}$ may also be functions of the control input $d$, but they should not be expressed in terms of other converter signals.

For a bipolar transistor switch we approximate the switch trajectories. $\mathrm{v}_{\text {CE }}$ and $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{C}}$ as piecewise linear in time as they ramp on and off as shown below. This neglects the diode stored charge which we will add later as its switch trajectory is more complex.


Linear Approximation Switch waveforms, for a buck converter switching loss example.

There are six distinct time intervals within $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$.
The static switch intervals $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are ideal with no power loss due to $\mathrm{V}_{\text {on }}, \mathrm{R}_{\text {on }}$ and I(leakage). The other four time intervals $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{v}}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{vr}}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{if}}$ have non-zero switching loss.
$\mathrm{t}_{1} \equiv$ time for which the TR conducts AND $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{CE}} \rightarrow 0$
$\mathrm{t}_{2} \equiv \mathrm{TR}$ is off $\mathrm{AND}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}=0$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ir}} \equiv$ rise time of current in inductor
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{vf}} \equiv$ fall time of voltage in transistor
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{vr}} \equiv$ rise time of voltage across the transistor
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{if}} \equiv$ fall time of current in inductor

We can approach the problem by averaging $i_{1}$ over the switch cycle which depends on the enclosed area under the waveform.


$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{1}(t) d t \\
& =\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left(\frac{t_{1}+t_{v f}+t_{v r}+\frac{1}{2} t_{i r}+\frac{1}{2} t_{i f}}{T_{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Each time interval has a unique power-time product or contribution to the switch energy.


Switch network

Note: $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{CE}} \equiv \mathrm{v}_{1}-\mathrm{v}_{2}$

$\mathrm{v}_{2}(\mathrm{t})$

(a) Independent variables: $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{i}_{2}$ Dependent variables: $\quad \mathrm{v}_{2}, \mathrm{i}_{1}$
$i_{1}=f\left(v_{1}, i_{2}\right)=i_{2}$ when transistor is on
(b) Average waveforms over $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ in terms of d , dv and di
$<i 1\rangle_{\text {Ts }} \equiv$ Area under $\mathrm{i}_{2}$ curve waveform
Given

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}} i_{1}(t) d t \\
& =\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left(\frac{t_{1}+t_{v f}+t_{v r}+\frac{1}{2} t_{i r}+\frac{1}{2} t_{i f}}{T_{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d=\left(\frac{t_{1}+\frac{1}{2} t_{v f}+\frac{1}{2} t_{v r}+\frac{1}{2} t_{i r}+\frac{1}{2} t_{i f}}{T_{s}}\right) & \begin{aligned}
& \text { Then we can write } \\
&\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left(d+\frac{1}{2} d_{v}\right) \\
& d_{v}=\left(\frac{t_{v f}+t_{v r}}{T_{s}}\right) \\
& d_{i}=\left(\frac{t_{i r}+t_{i f}}{T_{s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{array}
$$

We break the interval of conduction change into three parts. dv for switch voltage transition rimes fraction, di for switch current transition time fraction and a time fraction d. Note that the duration $\mathrm{i}_{2}$ max is $\mathrm{tvf}_{\mathrm{vf}}+\mathrm{t}_{1}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{vr}}$. Also the area under $\mathrm{i}_{2}$ has three parts as shown:
$\mathrm{d}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{vf}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{vr}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ir}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{if}}}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}}\right)$
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{vf}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{vr}}}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}}\right)$
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ir}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{if}}}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}}\right)$

dv is the fractional time $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ce}}$ rises and falls in a linear fashion.
di is the time $\mathrm{i}_{2}$ rises and falls in a
linear fashion.
We next express average circuit voltages in terms of $d$, $d v$ and di. $\left\langle\mathrm{V}_{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{T}} \equiv\left\langle\mathrm{V}_{1}-\mathrm{V}_{\text {CE }}\right\rangle$ is the area under the curve.


$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\left\langle v_{1}(t)-v_{C E}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\frac{1}{T_{s}} \int_{0}^{T_{s}}\left(-v_{C E}(t)\right) d t+\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} \\
\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left(\frac{t_{1}+\frac{1}{2} t_{v f}+\frac{1}{2} t_{v r}}{T_{s}}\right) \\
\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}} & =\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left(d-\frac{1}{2} d_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and we find area is $=\left\langle\mathrm{v}_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{Ts}_{s}}\left(\mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}} / 2\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathrm{V}_{2}>_{\mathrm{Ts}}=\left\langle\mathrm{V}_{1}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{Ts}}\left(\mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}} / 2\right)\right. \\
& \left\langle\mathrm{i}_{1}>_{\mathrm{Ts}}=\left\langle\mathrm{i}_{2}>_{\mathrm{Ts}}\left(\mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{v}} / 2\right)\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiply through to get two dependent sources in terms of various $d$ terms and two independent sources. This allows construction of the large-signal averaged-switch model

$$
\left\langle i_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left(d+\frac{1}{2} d_{v}\right) \quad\left\langle v_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}=\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left(d-\frac{1}{2} d_{i}\right)
$$


shown above:
(c) Dependent l-sink on input:

In parallel with the transformer in
$0.5 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{v}}\left\langle\mathrm{i}_{2}>_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}} @ \mathrm{v}_{1} \quad\right.$ input node sinks current from source:
$\mathrm{i}_{1}-0.5 \mathrm{~d}_{V}<\mathrm{i}_{2}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}} \equiv$ into transformer
(d) Dependent V-sink on output:

Consumes power from
$0.5 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{v}_{1}>{ }_{\mathrm{TS}} @ \mathrm{i}_{2}$
transformer before it reaches
load: $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{v}$ (transf.) -v (loss)
Total switch loss predicted by the averaged switch model is then as shown on the top of page 25 :


$$
P_{s w}=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{v}+d_{i}\right)\left\langle i_{2}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}\left\langle v_{1}(t)\right\rangle_{T_{s}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SW}}(\text { total })=0.5\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{v}}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)<\mathrm{i}_{2}>_{\mathrm{Ts}}<\mathrm{i}_{2}>_{\mathrm{Ts}}
$$



Use this model in circuit for buck: $d \rightarrow D$

DC equivalent circuit model, buck converter switching loss.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}}=\mathrm{V}_{2}=\mathrm{V}_{1}\left[\mathrm{D}-\frac{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}}{2}\right] \\
& \mathrm{I}_{1}=\mathrm{I}_{2}\left[\mathrm{D}+\frac{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{V}}}{2}\right] \\
& V_{o} \equiv D V_{g}-\frac{1}{2} D_{i} V_{g} \\
& \uparrow
\end{aligned}
$$

DC in steady state
@secondary switch voltage loss in secondary

$$
\underset{\uparrow}{V_{O} \equiv} \underset{\uparrow}{D V_{g}}\left[1-\frac{D_{i}}{2 D}\right]
$$

ideal SW loss in voltage output
The solution of the averaged switch model in steady state


Output voltage:

$$
V=\left(D-\frac{1}{2} D_{i}\right) V_{g}=D V_{g}\left(1-\frac{D_{i}}{2 D}\right)
$$

Efficiency calcuation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\text {in }}=V_{g} I_{1}=V_{1} I_{2}\left(D+\frac{1}{2} D_{v}\right) \\
& P_{\text {out }}=V I_{2}=V_{1} I_{2}\left(D-\frac{1}{2} D_{i}\right) \\
& \eta=\frac{P_{\text {out }}}{P_{\text {in }}}=\frac{\left(D-\frac{1}{2} D_{i}\right)}{\left(D+\frac{1}{2} D_{v}\right)}=\frac{\left(1-\frac{D_{i}}{2 D}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{D_{v}}{2 D}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

gives:
efficiency $\frac{P_{o}}{P_{i n}}=\frac{V_{o} I_{2}}{V_{g} I_{1}}=\frac{V_{g} I_{2}\left(D-\frac{D_{i}}{2}\right)}{V_{g} I_{2}\left(D+\frac{D_{v}}{2}\right)}$
Note we have separated out $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{v}}$ contributions to the efficiency.

$$
\eta=\frac{1-\frac{D_{i}}{2 D}}{1-\frac{D_{v}}{2 D}}
$$

