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Abstract—A novel higher order large-domain hybrid computational electromagnetic technique based on the finite element method (FEM) and method of moments (MoM) is proposed for three-dimensional analysis of antennas and scatterers in the frequency domain. The geometry of the structure is modeled using generalized curved parametric hexahedral and quadrilateral elements of arbitrary geometrical orders. The fields and currents on elements are modeled using curl- and divergence-conforming hierarchical polynomial vector basis functions of arbitrary approximation orders, and the Galerkin method is used for testing. The elements can be as large as about two wavelengths in each dimension. As multiple MoM objects are possible in a global exterior region, the MoM part provides much greater modeling versatility and potential for applications, especially in antenna problems, than just as a boundary-integral closure to the FEM part. The examples demonstrate excellent accuracy, efficiency, and versatility of the new FEM-MoM technique, and very effective large-domain meshes that consist of a very small number of large flat and curved FEM and MoM elements, with p-refined field and current distributions of high approximation orders. The reduction in the number of unknowns is by two orders of magnitude when compared to available data for low-order FEM-MoM modeling.
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I. INTRODUCTION

HYBRID FINITE element-boundary integral (FE-BI) techniques are extremely powerful and versatile general numerical tools for electromagnetic simulations in radiation and scattering applications [1]–[5]. They introduce exact BI terminations to numerically truncate and close the computational domain in modeling of unbounded problems (antennas and scatterers) based on the finite element method (FEM). Basically, the techniques divide the problem into an interior and exterior region. The electromagnetic field in the interior region, usually containing inhomogeneous materials, is modeled employing an FE differential-equation formulation and the field in the exterior region, filled with a homogeneous medium (most frequently, free space), is represented by some sort of BI equations. The fields are then coupled across the FE-BI interface by means of the appropriate boundary conditions. The coupled system of differential and integral equations is solved using various FE and BI numerical discretizations. Since the latter computational methodologies correspond to solutions of surface integral equations (SIEs) based on the method of moments (MoM), the hybrid methods are also referred to as FEM-MoM techniques.

A tremendous amount of effort has been invested in the research of FE-BI techniques in the past two decades. This led to many improvements of the original ideas and a number of novel techniques have been developed. A simple and effective FEM-MoM hybridization concept is given in [6] and [7], followed by a review of contemporary hybrid techniques in [8] and study of a variety of FE-BI formulations for three-dimensional (3-D) electromagnetic scattering by inhomogeneous objects and development of a novel technique immune to the problem of interior resonances, which also employs the multilevel fast multipole algorithm (MLFMA) to accelerate the BI portion of the technique [9]. A hybrid symmetric FEM-MoM formulation, which leads to a symmetric FE-BI matrix, has been derived in [10], and generalized to an efficient 3-D symmetric hybrid technique in [11]. Recent works also include a nonstandard BI approach to FEM domain truncation based on an adaptive numerical absorbing boundary condition (ABC) [12], efficient preconditioning using a FE-ABC matrix as a preconditioner for the FE-BI method [13], evaluation of performances of a variety of symmetric hybrid formulations [14], further investigation of problems of interior resonances [14], [15], FE-BI hybridization in the time domain [16], and FE-BI analysis and design of complex antennas [5], [17], [18].

However, in terms of the particulars of the numerical discretizations, most FEM-MoM (or FE-BI) tools are low-order (also referred to as small-domain or subdomain) techniques—the radiation or scattering structure under consideration is modeled by volume and surface geometrical elements that are electrically very small, on the order of λ/10 in each dimension, λ being the wavelength in the medium, and the fields and currents within the elements are approximated by low-order (zeroth-order and first-order) basis functions.
This results in a very large number of unknowns (unknown field/current distribution coefficients) needed to obtain results of satisfactory accuracy, with all the associated problems and enormous requirements in computational resources. In addition, commonly used geometrical elements are solids with planar sides and flat patches, and thus they do not provide enough flexibility and efficiency in modeling of structures with pronounced curvature. An alternative is the higher order (also known as the large-domain or entire-domain) computational approach [19], which utilizes higher order field/current basis functions defined on large (e.g., on the order of $\lambda$ in each dimension) curvilinear geometrical elements.

Only recently higher order FEM-MoM techniques have been proposed, developed, and employed in analysis of high-frequency unbounded electromagnetic structures [5], [12]–[14], [16], [20]–[22], with an objective to significantly reduce the number of unknowns and computational resources for a given (high) accuracy when compared to low-order solutions. However, none of the proposed techniques exploits the full potential of the higher order FE and BI modeling and all of the actually reported results are limited to the utilization of basis functions of the second or third order. In addition, these techniques still implement small finite and boundary elements for field/current modeling, and the higher order meshes reported actually represent small-domain solutions to electromagnetic problems considered. Note also that some of the tools are restricted to 2.5-D problems, that is, body of revolution (BOR) geometries [22], and some to higher order implementations only in the FEM region of the problem [20]–[22], which is often dictated by the specific intended application of the tool. Finally, it appears that all of the existing higher order FE-BI techniques are presented and applied as scattering codes; all results are for electromagnetic scatterers, and no antenna examples seem to be reported.

This paper proposes a novel higher order large-domain Galerkin-type hybrid FEM-MoM technique for 3-D electromagnetic analysis of arbitrary antennas and scatterers in the frequency domain, based on approximations of arbitrarily high orders for both geometrical modeling and field/current modeling, in both FEM and MoM regions. The solution in the interior region of the problem is obtained by a higher order FEM for discretizing the curl-curl electric-field vector wave equation [23], [24]. The solution in the exterior region is based on a higher order MoM for discretizing the set of coupled electric/magnetic field integral equations (EFIE/MFIE) with electric and magnetic surface currents as unknowns [25]. The two methods are coupled together at the boundary of the interior (FEM) region via boundary conditions. The FEM-MoM interface can be moved some distance away from the actual objects within the FEM domain (e.g., when the objects are metallic, or it can coincide with the object boundary surface (e.g., for dielectric objects). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first truly high-order hybrid computational electromagnetic technique combining the solutions to volume partial differential equations and surface integral equations. It combines the features of the previously proposed FEM and MoM techniques [23]–[25] in a unified numerical framework and with a full higher order computational effectiveness taking advantage of curl- and divergence-conforming hierarchical polynomial vector approximations of volumetric fields and surface currents within the electrically large Lagrange-type parametric volume and surface finite and boundary elements (large domains). The new method enables using as large as about $2\lambda \times 2\lambda \times 2\lambda$ curved FEM hexahedra and $2\lambda \times 2\lambda$ curved MoM quadrilaterals as building blocks for modeling of the antenna or scatterer (which is 20 times the traditional low-order modeling discretization limit). However, higher order solutions should be applied and will be truly beneficial only for smooth regions, where large elements are possible. More precisely, because the implemented basis functions are hierarchical, element orders in the model can also be low, so that the low-order modeling approach is actually included in the higher order modeling and both large and small elements can be combined together in the same model, but clearly the proposed method is most suitable for problems where the most of the structure can be tessellated using large higher order curved elements. In terms of excitation and operation of the structure, the technique is equally suitable for antenna and scattering applications. Finally, the proposed technique can incorporate multiple MoM objects and FEM sub-regions in a global generally unbounded MoM domain, and thus is not strictly dependent on the standard FE-BI scheme. This is quite important, as the MoM part of the technique provides much greater modeling versatility and potential for applications than just as a BI closure to the FEM part.

Section II of this paper presents the theoretical background and numerical components of the new higher order hybrid FEM-MoM technique. Along with some necessary derivations and facts pertaining to the FEM representation of the interior region and MoM treatment of the exterior problem, the emphasis is placed on the actual hybridization of the two methodologies and numerical techniques. In Section III, the technique is validated and its accuracy, convergence, and efficiency evaluated and discussed in several characteristic examples.

II. THEORY AND IMPLEMENTATION

Consider an electromagnetic system consisting of arbitrarily shaped metallic and dielectric bodies. Let the system be excited by a time-harmonic electromagnetic field of complex field intensities $\mathbf{E}_{\text{inc}}$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\text{inc}}$, and angular frequency $\omega$. This field may be a combination of incident plane waves (for a scattering structure) or the impressed field of one or more lumped generators (for an antenna structure). As the first step of the analysis, we decompose the system into two parts: a MoM (exterior) region and a FEM (interior) region, and denote them as regions $a$ and $b$, respectively, as shown in Fig. 1. In general, multiple MoM and multiple FEM objects can exist in an overall MoM environment. In this arrangement, for example, homogeneous dielectric domains can be modeled as parts of the FEM region or as MoM objects (via surface equivalence principle). Dielectric objects (e.g., metallic wires or plates) in the external medium (most frequently, air), on the other hand, can be modeled as MoM objects (using surface electric currents) or they can be enclosed in a virtual dielectric (air) domain and treated as a FEM sub-region.

The total electric and magnetic field intensity vectors in region $a$, $\mathbf{E}_a$ and $\mathbf{H}_a$, are expressed in terms of the equivalent surface electric current, of density $\mathbf{J}_e$, and equivalent surface magnetic current, of density $\mathbf{M}_m$, that are placed on the outer
boundaries (surface $S$) of all objects in region $b$, and the incident or impressed field vectors as follows:

$$
E^a = E_i(J_S) + E_M(M_S) + E^{inc}
$$

$$
H^a = H_i(J_S) + H_M(M_S) + H^{inc}
$$

(1)

where $E_i$ and $H_i$ stand for the scattered electric and magnetic field vectors due to current $J_S$, while $E_M$ and $H_M$ are the scattered fields due to $M_S$. These fields are computed as

$$
E_i(J_S) = -j\omega A - \nabla \Phi,
$$

$$
E_M(M_S) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla \times F
$$

$$
H_i(J_S) = \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times A
$$

(2)

with $\varepsilon$ and $\mu$ being the complex permittivity and permeability of the medium (exterior to $S$). The electromagnetic potentials are given by

$$
A = \mu \int_S J_S g dS,
$$

$$
F = \varepsilon \int_S M_S g dS
$$

$$
\Phi = \frac{i}{\omega \varepsilon} \int_S \nabla \cdot J_S g dS,
$$

$$
U = \frac{i}{\omega \mu} \int_S \nabla \cdot M_S g dS
$$

(3)

where $g$ is Green’s function for the unbounded homogeneous medium of parameters $\varepsilon$ and $\mu$.

$$
g = \frac{e^{-R}}{4\pi R},
$$

$$
\gamma = j \omega \sqrt{\varepsilon \mu}
$$

(4)

$\gamma$ being the propagation coefficient in the medium and $R$ the distance of the field point from the source point.

The fields $E^a$ and $H^a$ are coupled to the corresponding field vectors in region $b$, $E^b$ and $H^b$, through boundary conditions for the tangential field components on the surface $S$

$$
(E^a)^{tan} = (E^b)^{tan}
$$

(5)

$$
(H^a)^{tan} = (H^b)^{tan} = J_S \times n
$$

(6)

where $n$ is the outward unit normal on $S$. Combined with (1), the conditions yield

$$
- [E_i(J_S)]^{tan} - [E_M(M_S)]^{tan} + (E^b)^{tan} = (E^{inc})^{tan}
$$

(7)

$$
- [H_i(J_S)]^{tan} - [H_M(M_S)]^{tan} + J_S \times n = (H^{inc})^{tan}
$$

(8)

thus providing the computational interface between the MoM and FEM regions, with currents $J_S$ and $M_S$ over $S$ and field $E^b$ throughout region $b$ (FEM region) as unknowns. In the FEM-MoM discretization procedure, these quantities are represented as

$$
J_S = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{MoM}} \alpha_j s_j,
$$

$$
M_S = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{MoM}} \beta_j s_j
$$

(9)

$$
E^b = \sum_{l=1}^{N_{FEM}} \gamma_l e_l
$$

(10)

where $s_j$ are the adopted MoM basis functions, with unknown current-distribution coefficients $\alpha_j$ and $\beta_j$, whose total number on the FEM-MoM interface is $2N_{MoM}$, while $e_l$ are FEM basis functions, with a total of $N_{FEM}$ unknown field-distribution coefficients $\gamma_l$. In specific, functions $e_l$ are higher order curl-conforming hierarchical polynomial vector bases defined on Lagrange-type generalized curved parametric hexahedra of arbitrary geometrical orders in Fig. 2(a) [23]. On the other side, functions $J_S$ are the 2-D and divergence-conforming version of FEM bases on boundary elements in the form of generalized quadrilaterals in Fig. 2(b) [25], which are the 2-D version of hexahedral elements. With such exact compatibility of volume and surface geometrical elements, and field and current approximations, the hybridization of the two methods is performed in a true higher order fashion, with respect to both geometrical modeling and field/current modeling, in both FEM and MoM regions.

For MoM computations in region $a$, the tangential-field boundary conditions on the boundary surface between any two adjacent homogeneous dielectric domains (domains 1 and 2) can be written as [25]

$$
[E(J_S, M_S, \varepsilon_1, \mu_1)]^{tan} + (E^{inc})^{tan} = 0
$$

(11)

$$
[H(J_S, M_S, \varepsilon_1, \mu_1)]^{tan} + (H^{inc})^{tan} = 0
$$

(12)

where we assume that the incident (impressed) fields are present only in domain 1. On the perfectly conducting bodies, only condition (11) applies, which, for metallic surfaces in domain 1, reduces to

$$
[E(J_S, M_S, \varepsilon_1, \mu_1)]^{tan} + (E^{inc})^{tan} = 0.
$$

(13)
The scattered fields, due to currents \( \mathbf{J}_S \) and \( \mathbf{M}_S \), are expressed as in (2)–(4), using the respective material parameters for domain 1 or 2, and the currents are, in turn, approximated by means of higher order basis functions \( \mathbf{j}_{S_i} \) as in (9), which, of course, adds to the total count of unknown current-distribution coefficients \((\alpha_j \text{ and } \beta_j)\) in the MoM region, for the overall FEM-MoM computational model.

To solve for the coefficients \( \gamma \) in the FEM region of the model, the field expansion (10) is substituted in the curl-curl electric-current distribution coefficients, in (10) and (9), which, of course, adds to the total count of unknown current-distribution coefficients \((\alpha_j \text{ and } \beta_j)\) in the MoM region, for the overall FEM-MoM computational model.

On the other side, Galerkin discretization of (7) and (8), with testing and basis functions in (9), yields the SIE matrix equation over the FEM-MoM interface (surface \( S \))

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-\langle \mathbf{j}_{S_i}, \mathbf{E}^f \rangle + \langle \mathbf{j}_{S_i}, \mathbf{E}^b \rangle \\
-\langle \mathbf{j}_{S_i}, \mathbf{H}^f \rangle + \langle \mathbf{j}_{S_i}, \mathbf{H}^b \rangle \\
-\langle \mathbf{j}_{S_i}, \mathbf{M}^f \rangle + \langle \mathbf{j}_{S_i}, \mathbf{M}^b \rangle \\
\end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix}
\{\alpha_j\} \\
\{\beta_j\} \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\langle \mathbf{j}_{S_i}, \mathbf{E}^{inc} \rangle \\
\langle \mathbf{j}_{S_i}, \mathbf{H}^{inc} \rangle \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(21)

which can be conveniently represented as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-\mathbf{Z}_i^f + \langle \mathbf{j}_{S_i}, \mathbf{E}^b \rangle \\
-\mathbf{Z}_i^b + \langle \mathbf{j}_{S_i}, \mathbf{E}^f \rangle \\
\end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix}
\{\alpha_j\} \\
\{\beta_j\} \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\{\mathbf{t}^f\}^{inc} \\
\{\mathbf{t}^b\}^{inc} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(22)

All the terms in (22) can be readily evaluated except \( \mathbf{E}^b(j_{S_i}) \), for which we solve from (10) and (16) as follows:

\[
\mathbf{E}^b(j_{S_i}) = \sum_{l=1}^{NFEM} \gamma_l \mathbf{e}_l = \{\gamma_l\}^T \{\mathbf{e}_l\}
\]

(23)

where \( \{\gamma_l\} \) (with a fixed \( j = \hat{j} \)) stands for the \( j \)th column of \( [C_{kj}] \), and (23) and (24) are computed for all values of \( \hat{j} \) from 1 to \( N_{MoM} \).

Higher order FEM matrices are much smaller than their low order counterparts (the reduction of the number of unknowns is often measured by orders of magnitude, for the same or better accuracy) [23, 24], and are thus factored efficiently using sparse storage algorithms and direct sparse factorization techniques. In addition, [FEM] in (24) needs to be factored only once, after which coefficients in (24) and fields in (23) can be calculated one by one, using a fast back-substitution procedure. Note also that the FEM matrix elements in (17) depend on frequency only through \( k_0^2 \), provided that \( \mu_r \) and \( \varepsilon_r \) are frequency independent (dispersionless media), which allows for the elements of the matrix to be calculated only once, for the entire frequency range of interest, and stored separately as matrices \([A]\) and \([B]\), from which \([FEM]\) can be reconstructed, according to (17), for any given frequency. Note finally that alternative higher order hierarchical basis functions with improved orthogonality and conditioning properties constructed from Legendre polynomials [26] may be implemented in the technique as well.

Once all \( \mathbf{E}^b(j_{S_i}) \) terms are computed, the MoM matrix in (22) can be completed and the system solved for the unknown current distribution coefficients \( \{\alpha_j\} \) and \( \{\beta_j\} \), that is, by way of expansions (9), for the MoM surface currents \( \mathbf{J}_S \) and \( \mathbf{M}_S \) on \( S \). Exterior fields (in the MoM region) can then be obtained using (2)–(4). Finally, the FEM field coefficients \( \{\gamma_l\} \) can be found from (16)

\[
\{\gamma_l\} = [FEM_{kl}]^{-1}[C_{kj}]{\{\alpha_j}\}
\]

(25)

and the interior electric field (within the FEM region) can be evaluated by means of the expansion (10).

On the FEM-MoM interface in a model, field expansion orders in a FEM hexahedron and current expansion orders on the attached MoM quadrilaterals are adopted based on the largest electrical length of the element in the specific direction, with the same or close values of the two sets of associated orders.
for FEM and MoM computation. Numerical experiments have shown that optimal numbers of degrees of freedom for the desired accuracy are most often obtained when the difference between the two corresponding orders is (positive or negative) one. This can be attributed to the fact that dominant inner products in (20) are normally those between FEM and MoM basis functions in the same direction, whose maximal orders are offset by one in the mixed-order arrangement for curl-conforming functions [23] with respect to that for divergence-conforming functions [25].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All numerical results by the proposed higher order FEM-MoM technique are obtained using an IBM ThinkPad T60p notebook computer with Intel T7200 CPU running at 2.0 GHz under Microsoft Windows XP operating system.

As the first example, consider a lossless cubical dielectric scatterer of side length $a$, shown in the top inset of Fig. 3. Relative permittivity of the dielectric is $\varepsilon_r = 2.25$ (polyethylene). Fig. 3 presents the monostatic radar cross section (RCS) of the cube, normalized to $\sigma_0$, as a function of $4a/\lambda_0$, $\lambda_0$ being the free-space wavelength. The results obtained by two hybrid FEM-MoM higher order solutions, with (A) one FEM hexahedron and 6 MoM quadrilaterals and (B) 8 FEM hexahedra and 24 MoM quadrilaterals, are compared with the results obtained by the MoM [25] alone, as a reference solution. The FEM-MoM interface, $S$, is placed exactly on the cube surface in both hybrid models. Note that the FEM region in model A is literally an entire-domain FEM model (an entire computational domain is represented by a single finite element). Note also that the other higher order FEM-MoM solution is aimed to illustrate the model behavior when the number of elements is increased, which corresponds to an $h$-refinement of the model. In both models, sketched in the bottom insets of the figure, all elements are of the first geometrical order. In model A, the field expansion orders are 7 (in all directions) for the FEM hexahedron and current expansion orders are 6 for MoM quadrilaterals, while these orders are 5 and 4, respectively, in model B. These arrangements yield a total of 1344 FEM and 864 MoM unknowns for model A and 3630 FEM and 1536 MoM unknowns for model B. It can be observed in the figure that the higher order hybrid solution accurately matches the reference MoM solution, and that it quickly converges when the structure is $h$-refined and the number of unknowns increased. Note that the entire-domain mesh (model A), which is the coarsest model possible, with the minimum number of elements, performs well up to the frequency at which $4a/\lambda_0 = 5$.

Next, we consider a dielectric cube with $\varepsilon_r = 4$, $a = 0.3\lambda_0$, in $xy$-plane (a) and $yz$-plane (b): comparison of an entire-domain higher order FEM-MoM solution (model A in Fig. 3) and MoM [9] and low-order FEM-IE [11] solutions.
are compared with the results obtained by the MoM [9] and low-order symmetric FEM-IE [11]. The orders of the polynomial field and current approximations in the FEM-MoM model are 4 and 3, respectively, resulting in as few as 300 FEM and 216 MoM unknowns. The required memory is 8.4 MB. The computation times for filling and solving the matrices are 2.9 s and 0.4 s, respectively. We observe a very good agreement of the three sets of results, with the low-order FEM-IE solution taking as many as 72,732 unknowns [11] (note that [11] also provides a FEM-IE solution with 12,792 unknowns, whose agreement with the MoM results is slightly worse than the one observed in Fig. 4).

As an example of metallic structures, we analyze a cubical metallic (PEC) scatterer on a side. For the purpose of the FEM-MoM analysis, the cube is symmetrically encased in an air cubical box of side length $b = 1.04\lambda_0$, as depicted in the left inset of Fig. 5. The results for the normalized monostatic RCS of the cube in a range of frequencies in Fig. 5 obtained by the FEM-MoM using a model of the first geometrical order, with the air layer around the cube represented by 6 FEM “cushions” in the form of pyramidal frusta, onto which 6 MoM square patches are attached (the mesh is shown in the right inset of the figure), are compared to experimental results [27]. The field approximation orders in the FEM elements are adopted to be 2 in the directions perpendicular to the cube faces, and 3 in other directions, whereas all current approximation orders on MoM patches are set to be 4, so that the numbers of unknowns in the hybrid solution are 328 and 384 in the FEM and MoM regions, respectively. The memory requirements are 9 MB, and computation times for filling and solving the matrices 2.2 s and 240 s, respectively, for 70 frequency points. Note the simplicity of the higher order mesh in this example, as each “cushion” between the PEC surface and FEM-MoM boundary is meshed as a single $p$-refined hexahedral element (entire-domain approximation). An excellent agreement of the numerical RCS results with experiment is observed, with the outer faces of the FEM hexahedra (which are the same as MoM patches) in the model being as large as about $1.8\lambda_0$ on a side (with the polynomial field/current approximation order 3 or 4) at the highest frequency considered.

As the first antenna example, consider a wire dipole antenna in the vicinity of a dielectric cube ($a = 1\ \text{m}$, $d = 1\ \text{cm}$, $\varepsilon_r = 2$). The length of the dipole equals $a$, and wire diameter is $2r = 1\ \text{mm}$. The dipole is positioned centrally with respect to one of the cube faces, parallel to it, at a distance $d = 1\ \text{cm}$, as shown in the inset of Fig. 6. The antenna is modeled by two MoM wire segments and a lumped voltage generator, and the FEM-MoM model B in Fig. 3 is used for the cube (FEM-MoM interface coincides with the dielectric surface), with the field and current expansion orders of 5 and 4, respectively, in all directions in all elements, which results in a total of 3630 FEM and 1547 MoM unknowns. The required memory resources amount to 198 MB, and the matrix fill and solution times are 1530 s and 5269 s, respectively, for 61 frequency points. In Fig. 6, we observe an excellent agreement of the higher order FEM-MoM results for...
the antenna input impedance with a reference pure MoM solution [25].

As an example of modeling of curved structures, Fig. 7 shows the monostatic RCS of a dielectric sphere with $\varepsilon_r = 2.25$, normalized to the sphere cross-section area, against the normalized sphere radius $a/\lambda_0$. The results obtained by the higher order FEM-MoM are compared with the analytical solution in the form of Mie’s series. The new technique is employed with a geometrical model consisting of one FEM curvilinear hexahedron and 6 MoM curvilinear patches on the surface, all of the second geometrical orders, as sketched in the figure inset, and three discretizations with increasing polynomial expansion orders of fields and currents ($p$-refinement). Note that this again represents literally an entire-domain computational model, now even a curved one (a single higher order element models a sphere), analogous to model A of the cube in Fig. 3. We observe in Fig. 7 an excellent convergence of the method with $p$-refinement. Additionally, when compared to the exact solution, the entire-domain model, with the coarsest mesh possible for this example, when fully $p$-refined (with very high orders of polynomial field and current approximations) yields an accurate RCS prediction up to the frequency at which $a/\lambda_0 = 1$ and the curved faces of the FEM hexahedron, i.e., MoM quadrilateral patches, in the model are as large as about $1.57\lambda_0$ or $2.35\lambda_{\text{died}}$ across ($\lambda_{\text{died}} = \lambda_0/\sqrt{\varepsilon_r}$). Note that the central dimension of the hexahedron is $2\lambda_0 = 3\lambda_{\text{died}}$ (sphere diameter) at this frequency.

The last example is a helical dipole antenna near an inhomogeneous, three-layer, dielectric sphere (picture not drawn to scale). The FEM-MoM model of the sphere, as can be seen in a cross section, consists of 8 cubical and 72 curvilinear hexahedral MoM elements of the second geometrical order, with 24 attached curvilinear quadrilateral MoM patches of the second geometrical order on the outer boundary.

Fig. 8. Helical dipole antenna near an inhomogeneous, three-layer, dielectric sphere (picture not drawn to scale). The FEM-MoM model of the sphere, as can be seen in a cross section, consists of 8 cubical and 72 curvilinear hexahedral MoM elements of the second geometrical order, with 24 attached curvilinear quadrilateral MoM patches of the second geometrical order on the outer boundary.
their solution are 518 s and 57 s, respectively. Shown in Fig. 9
is the simulated current distribution along the wire of the upper
helix (for the generator voltage of 1 V), as well as the simulation
result for the antenna impedance, and in Fig. 10 far field (gain)
patterns in two characteristic planes of the antenna, where we
see how the layered dielectric sphere enhances the system radia-
tion toward the side at which it is placed. The results obtained
by the higher order FEM-MoM are compared with the results
obtained by the reference pure MoM technique [25]. We ob-
serve an excellent agreement of the two sets of results, for the
current distribution, input impedance, and radiation patterns of
the antenna.

IV. CONCLUSION

This paper has proposed a novel higher order large-domain
hybrid FEM-MoM technique for modeling of radiating and scat-
tering structures. The geometry of the structure is modeled using
generalized curved parametric hexahedral and quadrilateral ele-
ments of arbitrary geometrical orders. The fields and currents on
elements are modeled using curl- and divergence-conforming
hierarchical polynomial vector basis functions of arbitrary ap-
proximation orders, and the Galerkin method is used for testing.
As multiple MoM objects and FEM sub-regions are possible in
a global generally unbounded MoM domain, the MoM part pro-
vides much greater modeling versatility and potential for applica-
tions than just as a BI closure to the FEM part. The validity,
accuracy, convergence, and efficiency of the new technique have
been demonstrated in several characteristic examples. The flex-
bility of the technique has allowed for very effective large-do-
main meshes that consist of a very small number of large FEM
and MoM elements (e.g., a dielectric spherical scatterer ana-
alyzed using an entire-domain model with a single curved FEM
element and 6 attached MoM patches on its sides), with field and
current distributions of high approximation orders. All the ex-
amples have shown excellent properties of the hybrid technique
and higher order elements in the context of the p-refinement of
solutions, for models with both flat and curved surfaces, made
from both perfectly conducting and penetrable dielectric mate-
rials, and for both scatterers and antennas. The reduction in
the number of unknowns is by two orders of magnitude when com-
pared to available data for low-order FE-BI modeling. The new
hybrid FEM-MoM technique has proved to be a quite unique
and useful 3-D electromagnetic computational tool for antenna
and scattering problems, with an excellent potential for p-refine-
ment procedures, especially for smooth regions, where large el-
ements are possible.
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