Figure 9  Hydrogen bubble apparatus for flow visualization in water channels
Figure 10  Details of a smoke-wire probe used to obtain airflow velocities for barostromatic model airflow (Orgill et al., 1971a)
Figure 11 Laboratory experimental arrangement for obtaining airflow velocity measurements by the stereo two-camera smoke-wire method (Orgill et al., 1971a)
Figure 12 Methods in which terrain features affect atmospheric motions (Drake et al., 1977)
Figure 13  Contours of flow characteristics over a triangular ridge, $h/L = 1/6$ (Meroney et al., 1978b)
a. Mean longitudinal velocity, \( \Delta u/\bar{u}_o (\delta) = 0.5 \)

b. Static pressure, \( \Delta C_p = 0.27 \)

c. Streamlines

d. Longitudinal turbulence intensity, \( \Delta u'/\bar{u}_o (\delta) = 0.062 \)

Figure 14 Contours of flow characteristics over a triangular ridge, \( h/L = 1/2 \) (Meroney et al., 1978b)
Figure 15  Mean velocity profiles downwind of a triangular hill $h/L_d = 0.5$ and $h/L_d = 1, 0.33, 0.25, 0.17$ (Bouwmeester et al., 1978)
Figure 16  Velocity speedup between approach flow and crest over different hill shapes (Rider and Sandborn, 1977)
Figure 17 Comparison between wind tunnel (w.t.) and numerical simulation (n.s.) of velocities over a 1:4 sinusoidal hill. L is half width of hill. (Derickson and Meroney, 1977)
Figure 18  Schematic of the vortex containing wake produced by a hemisphere (Hansen and Cermak, 1975)
Figure 19 Horizontal isotachs, contoured model with tree shelterbelts at a height of 10M (Meroney et al., 1978)
Figure 20  Vertical section of isotachs over Rakaia Gorge contoured model with shelterbelts (Meroney et al., 1978a)
Figure 21: Vertical section of isoturbus over contoured Rakaia Gorge model with shelterbelts (Meroney et al., 1978a)
Figure 22  Vertical profiles of mean speed and speedup at the hilltop location for two wind directions in wind-tunnel and full-scale flows. (Teunissen et al., 1987)
Figure 23  Vertical profiles of $\sigma_u$ and $\Delta \sigma_u$ at the hill top (HT) and reference location (RS) in wind-tunnel and full-scale flows for $\phi = 235^\circ$. (Teunissen et al., 1987)
Figure 24  Domains of stratified flow behavior over surface-mounted obstacles as a function of flow-depth Froude number and obstacle to depth ratio
Figure 25 Schematic arrangement of baffles in a water filled towing tank to simulate an infinite depth flow (Baines and Hoinkka, 1985)
Figure 26  Criteria for the presence of columnar upstream motion and upstream blocking in terms of Nh/U for a range of surface mounted obstacle shapes. Hatched regions denote error bars. (Baines and Hoinka, 1985)
Figure 27  Sketch of stably stratified flow over two humps where the surface height is equivalent to the sum of their separate heights, two spacings (Tampieri and Hunt, 1984)
Figure 28  Water channel experiments performed with obstacles 1 cm high. Full symbols correspond to good penetration; open symbols to no penetration; half-filled symbols to intermediate cases (Tampieri and Hunt, 1984)
Figure 29  Schematic of dividing streamline concept for stratified flow over hills (Hunt and Snyder 1980)
Concentration distributions measured during individual tows of CCB with $H_h/h=0.31$ and $H_D/h=0.38$; wind direction: —— 117°, —— 122°.

Figure 30 Angle sensitivity of lateral movement around a hill in stratified flow (Snyder, 1988)
Figure 31  Dye streaks obtained during stratified tow-tank experiments of flow over triangular-shaped ridges of various aspect ratio (Castro et al., 1983)
The Reversed Compensation Flow is Counteracted by the Wind Tunnel Air Flow When Wind Tunnel is Operating

Figure 32  Schematic representation of the wind tunnel airflow from the combination of windtunnel and dry ice (Orgill et al., 1971a)
Figure 33
Comparison between the vertical rise of model and field tracer plumes during the Eagle Valley/Climax cloud seeding experiments (Orgill et al., 1971a)
Figure 34  Schematic of convection chamber at Colorado State University used to simulate mountain-valley drainage patterns.
Figure 35  Velocity and temperature profiles taken over the mine site for the Coal Creek Drainage flow tests: $\text{Ri} = 1.69$
(Petersen and Cermak, 1980)
Figure 36  Maximum ground level concentrations versus downwind distance with and without model terrain for a 6.7 m/s prototype wind speed and Kingston Power Plant Units 1 through 9 operating (Graham et al., 1978)
Figure 37  Plume visualization of side view of plume dispersion from a lead smelter in Glover, MO. Simulation in a stratified towing tank for neutral and stratified flows (Liu and Lin. 1985)
d. Run IVa: Neutral Condition, N = 0 Hz, $U_\infty = 12.6$ cm/s, $K = 1.4$, $F_H = \omega$, and $F_D = 3.9$

e. Run IVb: Stable Condition, N = .15 Hz, $U_\infty = 6$ cm/s, $K = 2.2$, $F_H = 5.4$, and $F_D = 3.1$

f. Run IVc: Stable Condition, N = .15 Hz, $U_\infty = 3$ cm/s, $K = 4.4$, $F_H = 2.7$, and $F_D = 3.1$

Figure 38 Plume visualization of top view of plume dispersion from a lead smelter in Glover, MO. Simulation in a stratified towing tank for neutral and stratified flows (Liu and Lin, 1975)
Figure 39  Laboratory and field data from Glover lead smelter plume simulation compared with field data of plume rise in calm and stable flows (Liu and Lin, 1975)