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Measurements are reported for turbulent boundary-layer growth in a prolonged bend where the additional rate of strain produced by streamline curvature influences the turbulent development. The growth rate of the boundary-layer thickness over the convex side is almost halved and the skin friction coefficient falls to 0.39 of the value expected on a plane surface. The mixing rate on the concave side is increased to about 1.1 times the plane surface value, and the customary evidence of longitudinal rolls appears. These measurements are the first since those of Schlichting's (1956) to provide a test of existing correlation expressions for curvatures typical of aircraft and turbomachinery without the complications of compressibility. Results have been compared against calculation techniques proposed by Bradshaw (1975), with good agreement.

Nomenclature

\( H \) = shape factor, \( B^2/B \)
\( k \) = curvature parameter, \( 1/R \)
\( p \) = pressure
\( R \) = radius of curvature
\( u' \) = velocity fluctuation
\( u \) = mean velocity
\( u_\infty \) = dimensionless velocity, \( u/u_\infty \)
\( u' \) = velocity fluctuation in vertical
\( w' \) = velocity fluctuation in lateral
\( x \) = coordinate
\( y \) = coordinate
\( z \) = coordinate
\( y^* \) = dimensionless vertical coordinate, \( u_\infty y/s \)
\( \beta \) = empirical constant in Bradshaw's curvature-correction expression
\( \delta \) = displacement thickness
\( \theta \) = momentum thickness
\( s \) = Von Kármán's constant
\( \lambda \) = vortex lateral wavelength
\( r \) = kinematic viscosity
\( r_0 \) = turbulent eddy diffusivity
\( \rho \) = density

Subscripts and superscripts

\( pw \) = potential value at wall
\( p \) = potential core value
\( ref \) = reference value
\( \gamma \) = total pressure value
\( sw \) = static wall pressure value
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Introduction

The motivation for the present work stems from the observation that streamline curvature in the plane of the mean-shear produces surprisingly large changes in the turbulence structure of shear layers. These changes may be more important in magnitude than normal pressure gradients, property variations, or other explicit effects in the mean motion and the turbulence correlation equations for curved flows. Turbulence may be nearly eliminated in some regions of highly convex surfaces, whereas for highly concave surfaces quasi-steady longitudinal vortices may develop to dominate local transport.

We were particularly interested in the penetrative convective instability and three-dimensional motions resulting from the action of centrifugal buoyancy forces over concave surfaces. These instabilities are found to take the form of quasi-steady three-dimensional vortices oriented in the streamwise direction. The occurrence of a closely analogous phenomenon in the atmosphere is fairly well documented. The large-scale cloud streets frequently observed in satellite photographs are now accepted as direct evidence of the presence of longitudinal vortex instabilities in the earth's atmosphere, the presence of these rolls may well explain the inadequacies of K-theory-type approaches to predict uniformly momentum, heat, or vapor transport through the earth's boundary layer.

In the atmosphere, these instabilities may be a combination of movements associated with surface heating, curvature, Coriolis forces, and wind shear. Interest in this problem has led us to examine various aspects of the simplified linear and laminar problem, the effects of longitudinal vorticity on heat and mass transport, and the effects of curvature on a turbulent eddy diffusivity model. This paper examines directly the influence of such secondary motions on the character of turbulence and transport in well-developed turbulent boundary layers.

An extensive and thorough review by P. Bradshaw now exists. Since this monograph reviews the work on boundary-layer development over two-dimensional curved surfaces from its first presentation in 1930 until the present, only the pertinent conclusions need be presented here. Literature
dealing with the development of longitudinal vortices in the presence of a boundary layer are discussed in more detail in Ref. 1.

One concludes that a full survey of the turbulent system in the presence of developing vortices has not been obtained. No spectra are available, no third-order correlations. Wall shear measurements are limited. Since previous airfoil measurements were limited to velocity profiles and $\theta < 0.01$ and most channel boundary-layer measurements exceed $\theta > 0.04$ or 0.1 this study has examined the moderate growth condition where $\theta = 0.01 - 0.02$. Turbulent measurements were made to establish flow history, eddy scales, and vortex spacing. Numerically, the value of Bradshaw's length scale modification for curved flows has been examined by use of the Bradshaw, Ferri, and Atwell program.12

The test section de-projected for this study was added to an existing centrifugal fan blower tunnel present in the aeronautical laboratory at the Georgia Institute of Technology. A 10000-cfm centrifugal fan and a 12.5-kw motor force air through five screens into a plenum chamber. A nine to one contraction ratio reduces the flowfield to a 5 x 30 in. section with a turbulence level of about 0.1%. The boundary layers develop naturally over a 4 ft 9 in. length before entering the curved section. Boundary-layer thicknesses on top and bottom of the duct are both approximately one inch.

The curved test section is a 5 x 30-in. extension section 4 ft long with a radius of curvature of 100 in. on the convex side. Static pressure taps are distributed over the section length on both the concave and convex sides. Five 3.5-in. diameter ports are available along the test section centerline. These ports provide access to the flow cross section. When a traversing device is mounted over the ports, vertical movement over the 5-in. curved wall is possible and lateral movement over 3 in. is available. A series of stagnation and surface tubes and single and cross-wire anemometers were attached to the traversing gear.

Twenty-six static pressure holes were distributed over the upper and lower curved surfaces of the test section. These pressures were normalized by the total head presented by the test section at the entrance to the 5 x 30-in. section. Each surface was a 0.001-in. diameter hole through brass or plastic insert. All tubes were tanged' with a brass, milled or irregularl, iers. Pressure measurements were made by an Airflow MK 5 Insettlllllll

Two sets of stagnation and surface probes were prepared to measure pressure variation across the wind tunnel section. A set of eight stagnation probes was used in the stagnation section of the curve. The stagnation tubes were constructed from a set of eight stagnation probes with standard wall tubes soldered into the tip diameter flattened to give an elliptic probe 0.025 in. high by 0.055 in. wide. Surface tubes were similar in shape however, the tips were not flattened. Typical i.d. and o.d. diameters were 0.025 in. and 0.042 in. respectively. Distances of the probes from the wall were determined by a precalibrated traverser. Positional wall was determined for each measurement by means of a continuity circuit between the probe tip and a thin layer of conductive silver paint applied to the local wall surface.

The pressure measurements made on the DUSA range of equipment. Type 55D01 anemometers and signal conditioning equipment were used. All signals were linearized by the DUSA 55D10 linearizer and DUSA 55D23 auxiliary unit, mean signals were measured with the 253A 55D30 digital voltmeter. A DUSA 55D26 sum and difference device was utilized to evaluate a set of the cross-wire output voltages. Anemometer output from the A-wire anemometer was recorded on separate channels of an Ampex FR1500 FM analog-tape recorder.

Subsequently, analog tapes were digitized by means of a Digital Equipment Co., Ltd. system utilizing an DEC AD-8/8 B A-D converter, a PDP 8/1 minicomputer, and an Ampex TM-16 tape transport. The equipment and procedures utilized for these techniques were evaluated by a program developed by Dr. C. W. Van Atta and modified for use on the CTR 6400 system at Imperial College by W. L. Yanetty.

Measurement Techniques and Data Reduction Procedures

A conventional pitot-static probe is not appropriate for flow following curved streamlines because of the significant vertical static pressure gradient. Hence, only stagnation tube measurements were made across the tunnel section. Fortunately, given the radius of curvature of the flow, one can determine the local static pressure from its value at the wall or one can integrate the stagnation pressure results outward from the wall.13 Both methods were used to calculate local longitudinal velocity; however, the latter method seemed less consistent, perhaps because of the accuracy required by the numerical integration. The expression utilized for the results displayed herein was

$$u = u_\infty \left[ (p_\infty - p)/p_\infty - p_a \right]$$

where $u = (p_\infty - p)/p_\infty - p_a$ and $p_\infty$ = reference total pressure in potential core region; $p_a$ = local total pressure; $p_\infty$ = static pressure at wall; and $k$ = radius of curvature.

This expression is obtained from the Bernoulli equation under the assumption $\beta \approx \rho = p/\rho_\infty$. Small terms which represent the difference between the static pressure calculated from the actual velocity and the potential velocity are dropped in this approximation. The major source of errors of a total-head probe are the effect of turbulence, the effect of sawing, and the effect of wall. To $k$ errors due to the $10^\to$ of flow turbulence are not well understood; various authors have suggested corrections ranging from positive to negative values for the same geometric condition. For turbulence less than 10%, the error in total pressure is probably less than 1% of the dynamic pressure. Total-head tubes are rather insensitive to yaw, miscalibrations of 15° are required to produce errors of order of 1%. Corrections for the wall effects are made using the curve of Statson et al.14 However, these should be significant only for the closest to wall measurements.

Some care must be taken in defining the local boundarylayer parameters for a curved flowfield. Since the potential velocity distribution increases linearly across the duct it is inappropriate to define a boundary-layer thickness as 99% of some specific velocity value. Rather, all velocities in the boundary layer are compatible with the boundary-layer velocity which would exist for an inviscid fluid; therefore, to be consistent the momentum and displacement thicknesses are defined as

$$\theta = \frac{1}{u_\infty} \int_0^\theta \left[ 1 - \frac{u^2}{u_\infty^2} \right] dy$$

$$\delta = \frac{1}{u_\infty} \int_0^\delta \left[ 1 - \frac{u^2}{u_\infty^2} \right] dy$$

and

$$\theta^* = \frac{1}{u^*} \int_0^{\theta^*} \left[ 1 - \frac{u^2}{u^*} \right] dy$$

$$\delta^* = \frac{1}{u^*} \int_0^{\delta^*} \left[ 1 - \frac{u^2}{u^*} \right] dy$$

Surface or Preston tube measurements were evaluated by arguing the pressure difference $\Delta p$ between the stagnation tube resting on the surface and a nearby static-pressure tube should be only a function of shear stress $\tau_w$, the tube diameter $d$, and the properties of the fluid $\rho$ and $a_0$. We thus obtain

$$\Delta p = \frac{1}{2} \rho \frac{d^2}{\rho} \frac{d^2}{\rho} \left( \tau_w \right)$$

or rearranging

$$\tau_w = \frac{1}{2} \rho \frac{d^2}{\rho} \frac{d^2}{\rho} \left( \Delta p \right)$$
A calibration chart prepared by F. Wong (Imperial College Aeronautics Note for Undergraduates) from the measurement of V. C. Pathi was used to interpret the measurements. A second measurement of local skin friction was obtained by the classical Clauser chart technique. This technique assumes the presence of a universal logarithm velocity law near the wall. This law must be modified, however, to reflect the presence of mild curvature effects. An appropriate universal expression with mild curvature is:

\[ u = (u_*/s) (n(u_*/y) + (2b_*/s) \int y dy) \] (7)

or for \( u = u_{y/2} \) in the inner layer

\[ u = (1 - 2^{-1/6}) \int y dy \int (n(u_*/y) + kC) \] (8)

Therefore one may plot

\[ (u/u_{	ext{crit}}) \int y dy \] (9)

for various values of \( u_* \) ( \( u_{	ext{crit}} \) is potential velocity which would exist if flow for an inviscid flow). See Figs. 1 and 2 for results over convex and concave surfaces. So and Mellore were pessimistic concerning the presence of a logarithm region over a concave surface. However, the results found herein appear consistent.

Mean temperature of the flowfield was monitored throughout the experiment by a thermometer probe. This was especially critical because of the thermal drift which occurred daily in the laboratory. Rans where temperature drifted by more than 5°F were discarded.

The hot-wire anemometer was only used to determine fluctuating quantities in the process of these experiments. Every effort was made to eliminate the effects of temperature drift by taking a data set promptly and measuring primarily dimensionless quantities. Single- and cross-wire anemometer systems were used to measure fluctuating quantities. Voltages were evaluated in the conventional manner by an analog system. As an additional check on accuracy and to obtain higher order correlations the cross wire signals were analyzed digitally. The techniques described by Castro and Brandt and Braschaw were followed. Whether results are analog or digital are so noted on the figures.

Results and Discussion

In the following, the discussion is divided into three sections. The first deals with efforts to check the "well behaved" nature of the flow, i.e., presence of separation or secondary flow. The second deals with the convex-flow results and the third the concave results. The discussion takes the following format. The mean-flow data are analyzed first, followed by a discussion of the turbulence data.

General Flowfield

Uniformity and straightforwardness of flow in the curved section was checked by observing tufts attached to the wall of the tunnel. In addition, smoke was released from a TEM model smoke probe. The flow remained attached and appeared visually uniform. A stethoscope was used to check for turbulence and the extent of the wall region at the duct outlet. The boundary layer was thicker on the top concave surface than on the bottom convex surface. The shear-layer thickness appeared constant with no asymmetry with respect to duct centerline. The potential core region appeared turbulence free. The larger aspect ratio of the duct (1:4) apparently helped to avoid large secondary flow veering typical of early experiments in rectangular ducts.

As a further check of the flow symmetry, two 1/4-in. diam cylinders were placed normal to the flow, perpendicular to the duct wall surface, and equal distance from the duct centerline (≈4 in.). The wakes produced by these cylinders were examined at the duct outlet. There was no evidence that the wake profiles were deflected to either side over the length of the test section.

The pressure distributions the length of the entrance and curved wall sections are shown in Fig. 3. The cross-duct pressure distribution appears to adjust and balance for the curvature within two duct heights (≈10 in.). This sudden pressure adjustment cannot be eliminated since it is inherently characteristic of a change from a straight to a curved flowfield. Even so the total maximum pressure change amounts to less than 10% of the reference dynamic head. Since the curvature was relatively mild and the duct aspect ratio rather large, no wall jets or flags were employed to tailor the pressure distribution. It was felt that the effects of mild pressure variation are well understood and can be corrected for in any current numerical boundary-layer calculation method.
Conves Surface Effects

The sequence of mean velocity profiles which grow over the convex skin are shown in Fig. 4. Note the low rate of boundary-layer growth, which is almost half what would be expected for the corresponding straight section. The profile gradients become less intense near the wall and the power law parameter increases from 1/7 toward 1/3. Since the skin friction is not measured independent of the velocity profile, the skin friction quoted depends on the validity of the modified Clauer plot or the Preston tube to determine $C_f$ for curved flow. The measured velocity profiles were plotted in Clauer plot form in Fig. 5. All profiles show an extensive straight-line region which begins to deviate at the same point ($y^+ = 200$) where a flat-plate profile begins to deviate from the Law of the Wall, which is given by $u'^+ = (1 - 0.125 y^+ / R) = (1 / k) (n_y) C$ exists for flow along convex surfaces.

For flows over convex surfaces, the centrifugal force on a fluid element must be balanced by an inward pressure gradient. If a particle is moving too fast (or slow) for its location, the centrifugal force component is large (or small), and the particle moves outward (or inward). Hence, in a turbulent flow the vertical motions over a convex surface are hindered, resulting in a decrease in the interchange of momentum and energy.

Consider Fig. 6 which displays the variation of skin friction $C_f$, momentum thickness $θ$, and shape factor $H$ over the span of the convex wall. A curvature perturbation of $dθ/dR=0.01$, which might be expected to cause only a 1% change in the Reynolds' stress equations, has resulted in approximately a 10% decrease in skin friction, a decreased rate of momentum thickness growth and a slight increase in shape factor. Also included in Fig. 6 are lines depicting behavior as predicted by the hyperbolic boundary layer program of Ferris and Bradshaw, modified for a curvature correction as described by Bradshaw. For a constant $β$ of 7.0 the results display fairly good agreement with the experimental data.

The decrease in mixing activity is also evident from the turbulence measurements, Figs. 1, 7, 8. It can be seen that there are significant decreases in the turbulent intensities across the boundary layer.

The change in the $u'^+$ profile from that corresponding to the flat-plate flow is especially interesting. Most of the suppression occurs in the outer region of the boundary layer. Extrapolated values of the shear stress at the wall closely approximate the results from the Preston tube of the Clauer plot. The flow possesses a favorable pressure gradient initially as it readjusts to curved flow lines; subsequently, the convex
Curvature prevents turbulence intensity from increasing. Examination of the intensities \( u' u'' \) and \( v' v'' \) suggests the flow has almost reached a new equilibrium state where profiles become similar.

**Concave Surface Effects**

The distinguishing characteristic of flow over the concave surface is the presence of significant lateral variation in all flow properties. A survey of stagnation pressure at two levels (1.0 and 0.5 in.) above the surface over a 16-in. lateral fetch is shown in Fig. 9. The pressure variations can be explained by assuming the existence of a system of longitudinal vortices similar to the Taylor-Coen type vortices inside the boundary layer. The positions of the high points (crests) on the tracé can be taken to correspond to the position between two vortices whose flow direction is toward the wall, and the position of the low points (troughs) could be taken as a position between the vortices where the flow directions are.

Away from the wall, the wave-like behavior. The boundary-layer thickness at this position was of the order of 2.5 times the apparent wavelength between rolls was approximately 2.42 in. These rolls and their positions were very stable. Tracés made at two different times some days apart reproduced the same structure. It is probable that the exact roll positions are tied in some manner to irregularities in upstream stream sources, boundaries, or turbulence transition location.

The growth of the mean velocity distribution along the concave and flat surface is shown in Fig. 10. Boundary-layer thickness varies by 25% over the wavelength of each roll. Momentum thickness varies from 30 to 100% of the roll width. Local skin friction appears to vary by 20%. All of this arises from only a magnitude of 0.06-0.08. The test span variation of \( C_f \), \( R \), and \( H \) are shown in Fig. 11. Again one sees the influence of curvature; however, this time centrifugal effects act to enhance existing and increase momentum transport on the average. A two-dimensional analysis will obviously be unable to predict the nature of the three-dimensional influence of longitudinal rolls. Nevertheless, the predictive results of the method of Bradshaw are displayed as before. An average 10-12% increase in skin friction, a decrease in shape factor, and an increase in momentum thickness are suggested. The experimental variation in \( C_f \) and \( H \) are not nearly so great as the variation in \( R \).

To interpret the hot-wire anemometer results one must assume that the lateral velocities developed by the roll do not significantly change the signal measured. With this rather serious limitation in mind one can examine Figs. 2, 12, 13. Profiles of \( u' \) and \( v' \) are shown in Figs. 2. At stations \( X = 0 \), \( X = 0.5 \) in., and \( X = 3 \) in. measurements were taken at the duct centerline. However, \( X = 18 \) in. and \( 42 \) in. (spanwise measurements were also made. The curve identified by arrows on the figure brackets the variation across a longitudinal roll. The rapid
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Turbulent Boundary Layers along Curved Surfaces

a) The Law of the Wall holds in a modified form along curved surfaces.

b) Initial and subsequent decreases in the intensities of turbulence are due, partly to favorable pressure gradient and, partly to curvature. The curved streamlines interact with the boundary layer to inhibit vertical mixing.

c) The shear stress decreases steeply outside the near wall region and approaches zero well inside the typical boundary layer (about 0.88 for 5/Re = 0.01).

d) A length scale correction of the sort proposed by Bradshaw suffices to predict the effect of moderate convex curvature in skin friction, shear factor, and momentum thickness.

e) A small change in curvature (5/Re = 0.01) arouses a large (10%) change in integral properties of the flowfield.

Turbulent Boundary Layers along Concave Surfaces

a) The Law of the Wall appears to hold in a modified form along concave surfaces when the spanwise local friction velocity is used as the velocity scale.

b) Concave curvature may induce parallel sets of longitudinal rolls in the turbulent boundary layer. These rolls appear to extend the height of the boundary layer and characteristically show a wavelength of the order of the boundary layer thickness.

c) As a result of increased mixing promoted by the concave curvature, there is a substantial increase in the turbulent energy all across the boundary layer.

d) The various turbulence correlations and mean velocities are distributed laterally in a wave-like pattern, indicating the presence of a vortex system.

e) The shear correlation coefficient appears to remain large for an extended distance from the wall before it begins to diminish. Detailed tabulated data and further measurements for the experiments are available in the report described as Ref. 26.
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