

1 Antibiotic Resistance Genes (ARG) as Emerging
2 Contaminants: Studies in Northern Colorado

3 Amy Pruden+, Ruoting Pei*, Heather Storteboom, and Kenneth H. Carlson.

4 Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Colorado State University.

5
6 **RECEIVED DATE** (to be automatically inserted after your manuscript is accepted if required
7 **according to the journal that you are submitting your paper to)**

8 **TITLE RUNNING HEAD** ARG as Emerging Contaminants.

9 **+CORRESPONDING AUTHOR FOOTNOTE** Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering,
10 Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, 80523. Phone: (970) 491-8814 Fax: (970) 491-8671.

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 This study explores antibiotic resistance genes (ARG) as emerging environmental contaminants. The
3 purpose of this study was to investigate the occurrence of ARG in various environmental compartments
4 in northern Colorado, including the Cache La Poudre (Poudre) River sediments, irrigation ditches, dairy
5 lagoons, and the effluents of wastewater recycle and drinking water treatment plants. Additionally,
6 ARG concentrations in the Poudre River sediments were analyzed at three time points at five sites with
7 varying levels of urban/agricultural impact and compared with two previously published time points. It
8 was expected that ARG concentrations would be significantly higher in environments directly impacted
9 by urban/agricultural activity than in pristine and lesser impacted environments. Polymerase chain
10 reaction (PCR) detection assays were applied to detect the presence/absence of several tetracycline and
11 sulfonamide ARG. Quantitative real-time PCR (Q-PCR) was used to further quantify two tetracycline
12 ARG (*tet(W)* and *tet(O)*) and two sulfonamide ARG (*sul(I)* and *sul(II)*). The following trend was
13 observed with respect to ARG concentrations (normalized to Eubacterial 16S rRNA genes): dairy
14 lagoon water > irrigation ditch water > urban/agriculturally impacted river sediments ($p < 0.0001$),
15 except for *sul(II)*, which was absent in ditch water. It was noted that *tet(W)* and *tet(O)* were also
16 present in treated drinking water and recycled wastewater, suggesting that these are potential pathways
17 for the spread of ARG to and from humans. Based on this study, there is a need for environmental
18 scientists and engineers to help address the issue of the spread of ARG in the environment.

19 **KEYWORDS** Antibiotic resistance genes, tetracyclines, sulfonamides, antibiotics, Q-PCR

20

21

1 INTRODUCTION

2

3 The spread of antibiotic resistant pathogens is a growing problem in the U.S. and around the world.
4 Recently a 2000 World Health Organization report (WHO) (1) focused on antibiotic resistance as one
5 of the most critical human health challenges of the next century and heralded the need for “a global
6 strategy to contain resistance.” According to the report, more than two million Americans are infected
7 each year with resistant pathogens and 14,000 die as a result. The rapid growth of the problem
8 emphasizes the need for intervention. For example, vancomycin is currently considered to be the most
9 powerful antibiotic of “last resort,” yet within 10 years the incidence of vancomycin-resistant
10 *Enterococci* (VRE) increased in the United States from 0% to 25% (2, 3). Resistance to penicillin, the
11 antibiotic that originally revolutionized human health 50 years ago, is now as high as 79% in
12 *Staphylococcus pneumoniae* isolates in South Africa (4, 5). Alarming, diseases that were once
13 considered to be eradicated, such as tuberculosis, are now beginning to make a comeback because of
14 antimicrobial resistance (1, 6, 7). As with other dangerous pollutants that spread in the environment and
15 threaten human health, there is a need for environmental scientists and engineers to help address the
16 critical problem of microbial resistance to antibiotics.

17 The rise of antibiotic resistance is considered to be closely linked with the widespread use of
18 antibiotic pharmaceuticals in humans and animals. In particular, more than one-half of the antibiotics
19 used in the U.S. are administered to livestock for purposes of growth-promotion or to treat infections
20 (8, 9). In both animals and humans, up to 95% of antibiotics can be excreted in an unaltered state (10,
21 11). Some removal has been observed in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), however as is true with
22 the larger problem of pharmaceutical compounds, WWTPs are not designed for removal of
23 micropollutants (12-14). Residual antibiotics thus are released into the environment where they may
24 exert selection pressure on microorganisms. While over-prescribing or other improper use/disposal of
25 antibiotics in humans is generally considered to contribute to the problem, several studies have also

1 linked agricultural antibiotic use with antibiotic-resistant infections in humans (15-23). For example,
2 avoparcin, an antibiotic growth-promoter used in poultry, was recently banned in Europe because of its
3 association with the development of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococci* (24).

4 Because of the direct selection pressure that antibiotics exert on organisms carrying antibiotic
5 resistance genes (ARG), the transport pathways of antibiotic resistant microorganisms and the ARG
6 that they carry are expected to be similar to the pathways of antibiotic pharmaceuticals. In fact, it is
7 likely that ARG persist further in the pathway, considering that in many cases they are maintained in
8 the microbial populations even after the antibiotic selection pressure has been removed (25-28). Also,
9 horizontal gene transfer (HGT) is a major mechanism for sharing ARG between microbes and has been
10 documented to occur between non-pathogens, pathogens, and even distantly related organisms, such as
11 gram positive and gram negative bacteria (25, 29-31). In many cases, ARG have been discovered to
12 occur as part of multiple antibiotic resistant (MAR) super integrons, which may contain over one
13 hundred ARG cassettes (32). These MAR super integrons cause multi-resistance in organisms, meaning
14 that even when very different antibiotics are used, one antibiotic may co-select for resistance to other
15 antibiotics (5, 33). MAR gene cassettes and ARG are notorious for being associated with plasmids
16 and/or transposons that facilitate HGT. Finally, even if cells carrying ARG have been killed, DNA
17 released to the environment has been observed to persist, to be protected from DNase, especially by
18 certain soil/clay compositions, and eventually be transformed into other cells (34-36). For all of these
19 reasons, ARG in and of themselves can be considered to be emerging “contaminants” for which
20 mitigation strategies are needed to prevent their widespread dissemination.

21 The purpose of this study was to document the occurrence of tetracycline and sulfonamide ARG in
22 various environmental compartments in northern Colorado. These two ARG groups were chosen
23 because sulfonamide and tetracycline antibiotics have been previously characterized in Poudre River
24 sediments and shown to relate to urban/agricultural activity (37). The breadth of the study included:
25 Cache La Poudre (Poudre) River sediments, dairy lagoon water, irrigation ditch water, and a wastewater

1 recycle plant (WRP) and two drinking water treatment plants (DWTP). The hypothesis was that
2 environmental compartments most directly impacted by urban/agricultural activity would have
3 significantly higher concentrations of ARG than less impacted and pristine environments. Irrigation
4 ditch waters, which were directly adjacent to farms, were investigated as a potential pathway of ARG
5 from farms to the Poudre River, while the WRP and the DWTPs were explored as potential routes of
6 human environmental input and consumption. The presence/absence of several ribosomal protection
7 factor tetracycline ARG and folic acid pathway sulfonamide ARG was determined using a polymerase
8 chain reaction (PCR) detection assay and four commonly occurring ARG were further quantified by
9 quantitative real-time PCR (Q-PCR). Documenting the baseline occurrence of ARG in a cross-section
10 of environmental compartments will take a step toward understanding and modeling the fate and
11 transport phenomena associated with these emerging contaminants.

12

13 **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

14 *Poudre River Sediment Sampling*

15 Because of its pristine origins and zonation corresponding to land-use, the Poudre River has served as
16 a good model for relating human and agricultural activities with the occurrence of antibiotic
17 pharmaceuticals (37) and ARG (38). Five sampling sites were the focus of this study, numbered
18 sequentially in the direction of flow from west to east, with the following characteristics: site 1, pristine
19 location at the river origin in The Rocky Mountains; site 2, light agriculture influenced area; site 3,
20 urban-influenced area at the outlet of the Fort Collins Drake WWTP; site 4, heavy agriculture
21 influenced area between Fort Collins and Greeley; and site 5, heavy agriculture and urban influenced
22 area just east of Greeley, which is a major center for the meat-packing industry. Over 90 confined
23 animal feeding operations (CAFOs), dairies, and ranches are located between sites 3 and 5. Further
24 attributes of the Poudre River watershed that contribute to its suitability for investigating the impacts of
25 urban and agricultural activity on antibiotics and ARG have been described previously (37, 38).

1 Sediment samples were collected along the Poudre River at the five sites on August 18, 2005,
2 October 27, 2005, and February 17, 2006. The flow rates on these three dates were 1.04 cms, 14.19
3 cms, and 0.14 cms, respectively (USGS station number 06752260, Fort Collins, CO). Sampling at
4 three points in time provided insight into potential temporal variations in ARG concentrations, and the
5 February 17th date is exactly one year later than a previously published sampling date (38). The upper
6 sediments (about 5 cm) from the middle and two sides of a cross-section at each site were sampled and
7 composited. Samples were collected using a shovel and mixed well in sterilized centrifuge tubes.
8 Fifty-five grams of mixed sample at each site were stored at -80 °C for subsequent molecular analysis.

9 Bulk Water Sampling

10 Irrigation ditch waters were investigated as a potential pathway of ARG from farms to the Poudre
11 River. Grab samples of bulk water were collected in sterile containers from irrigation ditches on
12 August 18, 2005, corresponding to the August sampling date of the Poudre River sediments. All
13 irrigation ditches were located between site 4 and site 5 on the Poudre River within a 3.5 km x 2 km
14 zone north of the river and a total of ten locations were sampled. In order to investigate a potential
15 source of ARG within this zone, a microaerophilic dairy lagoon (~ 1mg/l dissolved oxygen in upper 1
16 m) and an anaerobic dairy lagoon (0 mg/l dissolved oxygen) from an anonymous farm located 8 km
17 from site 5 was sampled October 20, 2005. Finally, source water, and pre-chlorinated and post-
18 chlorinated bulk water were collected from two anonymous DWTPs and an anonymous WRP in
19 northern Colorado in February, 2005. The DWTP was studied as a potential direct route of ARG to
20 consumers, and the WRP was considered a potential human input into the environment. In order to
21 collect fine particulates from the dilute aqueous ditch water, DWTP, and WRP samples for subsequent
22 analysis, 500 ml of well-mixed sample was filtered using a 0.45 micron glass fiber filter (Whatman).
23 This concentration step was not required for dairy lagoon samples.

24 DNA Extraction

1 DNA was extracted from 0.5 g of composited sediment using the FastDNA Spin Kit for Soil (MP
2 Biomedicals) and from 1.8 mL of dairy lagoon water using the Ultraclean Microbial DNA Kit (MoBio
3 Laboratories, Inc.) according to manufacturer protocol. Both approaches employ a bead-beating
4 procedure. For fine particulates collected on filters from bulk water, the filters were cut into small
5 pieces and added directly to the extraction tubes. Extraction yield and the quality of the DNA were
6 verified by agarose gel electrophoresis and spectrophotometry.

7 Detection and Quantification of ARG

8 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) detection assays were used for broad-scale screening of the
9 presence/absence of five ribosomal protection factor tetracycline ARG (*tet*(BP), *tet*(O), *tet*(S), *tet*(T),
10 and *tet*(W)) (39) and four folic acid pathway sulfonamide ARG (*sul*(I), *sul*(II), *sul*(III), and *sul*(A)).
11 Development and validation of *sul* primers was described in Pei et al (38). Positive controls consisted
12 of cloned and sequenced PCR amplicons obtained from Poudre River sediments. Both positive and
13 negative controls were included in every run and negative signals were confirmed by spiking positive
14 control template into the sample in order to verify a signal. Forty cycles were used in order to improve
15 chances of product formation from low initial template concentrations. Further details on reaction
16 mixes and temperature programs are available in Pei et al. (38), note that annealing temperatures for *tet*
17 primers vary from Aminov et al. (39). Two tetracycline ARG (*tet*(W) and *tet*(O)) and two sulfonamide
18 ARG (*sul*(I) and *sul*(II)) that were commonly occurring according to the PCR presence/absence assays
19 were further quantified by real-time quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) using a SybrGreen approach. For
20 further details on Q-PCR methods, see Pei et al. (38). Eubacterial 16S rRNA genes were quantified
21 according to the TaqMan Q-PCR method described by Suzuki et al. (40) so that ARG could be
22 normalized to the total bacterial community. This provided a means to correct for potential variations
23 in extraction efficiencies. By quantifying 16S rRNA genes, it was also possible to compare ARG
24 proportionally between samples of different overall population size. Matrix effects associated with
25 extraction of DNA from environmental samples were corrected for by performing spiked matrix control

1 tests and determining template suppression factors as described in Pei et al. (38). All Q-PCR analyses
2 were performed using a Cepheid SmartCycler (Sunnyvale, CA).

3 Statistics

4 The influences of the environment (sites, ditch water, and dairy lagoons) on the normalized and non-
5 normalized copies of ARG were analyzed using the Mixed Procedure, which fits a variety of mixed
6 linear models to data. This provides the flexibility of simultaneously modeling means, variances and
7 covariances (41-44). Using this test, it was thus possible to comprehensively compare overall
8 differences between different environmental compartments with respect to ARG concentrations. For
9 comparison of the five Poudre River sites, multiple sampling time points were treated as replicates.
10 Mixed Procedures were conducted using SAS 9.0 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC). A p -value < 0.05 was
11 considered to indicate significance. Averages and standard deviations of all data were determined
12 using Microsoft Excel, 2003.

13

14 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

15 Occurrence of ARG in Northern Colorado

16 Fig. 1 summarizes the Q-PCR data obtained for the four ARG at the five Poudre River sites, while
17 Fig. 2 summarizes the same analyses for the ditch waters and dairy lagoon water. In comparing August,
18 2005 data for the Poudre River sediments with the dairy lagoon and ditch water, the following trend is
19 observed with respect to ARG concentrations: dairy lagoon water $>$ ditch water $>$ river sediments ($p <$
20 0.0001), for all ARG except *sul(II)*, which was absent from the ditch waters. This is based on pooling
21 of all 10 ditch water sites, the two dairy lagoons, and sites 4 and 5, which were directly adjacent to the
22 ditch water sampling locations. Within each of these three pools, there was no statistical difference
23 observed among the samples. Therefore, it was observed as expected that environmental compartments
24 most directly impacted by human/agricultural activity showed higher concentrations of ARG. This
25 trend is even stronger in considering absolute quantities of ARG (not normalized to 16S rRNA genes),

1 because the concentration of cells in the dairy lagoon water was orders of magnitude higher than that of
2 the ditch water or the sediments.

3 In developing a hypothetical pathway for ARG, a trend is not as clear. The overall trend in terms of
4 ARG concentrations of dairy lagoon water > ditch water > river sediments suggests that on-farm
5 compartments, such as lagoons may be the source of ARG, which are subsequently attenuated in ditch
6 water before reaching Poudre River sediments. However, this trend is not supported in terms of *sul(II)*,
7 which is entirely absent from the ditch water and therefore cannot be the source of what is observed in
8 the Poudre River sediments. An alternative source of the *sul(II)* that appears at site 4 and site 5 could
9 instead be human inputs. This is supported by the data presented in Fig. 1, in which it is observed that
10 *sul(II)* is consistently present at high levels on average at site 3, which is at the point of discharge of the
11 Drake WWTP, while consistently lower (comparing each date sampled) at site 4 (entirely absent for the
12 October event), and equivalent or lower at site 5, which has mixed human/agricultural inputs. Because
13 *sul(II)* is present in the dairy lagoon waters, it must also have agricultural sources, but it may attenuate
14 too quickly to be transported to the ditches and subsequently to the river sediments. Based on this
15 study and a previous study (38), it appears that of the four ARG quantified, *sul(II)* is the most
16 sensitive indicator of human/agricultural impact, and thus it is suggested that it attenuates quickly in
17 the absence of direct inputs. The other ARG in the Poudre River sediments at site 4 and site 5 may be
18 of either/both human and agricultural origin, since they followed a decreasing trend from the dairy
19 lagoon through the ditch water, but were also present at site 3.

20 In addition to having higher concentrations of three out of four of the ARG, the dairy lagoon water
21 was also observed to have more different kinds of ARG present than the irrigation ditch water
22 according to the PCR assay (Table 1). Together with the Q-PCR results, these data further support the
23 concept that there is some attenuation of ARG between any linkages that may connect dairy lagoon
24 water and irrigation ditch water. Future work should implement ARG fingerprinting/source tracking to
25 fully characterize the potential pathways.

1 Temporal Variations of ARG in Poudre River Sediments

2 As observed in a previous study that compared a high-flow sampling point (6.8 cms, April 2004) with
3 a low-flow sampling point (0.6 cms, February 2005), the ARG concentrations in the Poudre River
4 sediments are variable with time (38). In order to better understand temporal variations in ARG
5 concentrations, the Poudre River sediments were sampled at three additional time points and compared
6 with the two previously published time points. The February sampling point in this study took place
7 exactly one year after the previous February event. In support of the relationship between ARG
8 concentration and relative environment impact observed above, the pristine site (site 1) consistently had
9 the lowest average concentrations of ARG with time, with *sul*(II) completely absent, and no individual
10 ARG consistently present at all five sampling times (Fig. 1). In comparing presence/absence of ARG,
11 site 2 appears to be the next lowest in terms of overall impacts. For example, *sul*(II) is consistently
12 absent at site 2, and *tet*(O) was absent in one of the five sampling events, whereas these genes were
13 consistently present at sites 3, 4, and 5. In terms of ARG concentrations, *tet*(W) and *tet*(O) at site 2
14 were equal or less than site 3, however, these two genes were sometimes higher and sometimes lower
15 than at sites 4 and 5. Based on ARG averages and presence/absence of ARG, sites 1 and 2 were the
16 least impacted, as expected.

17 In applying the Mixed Procedure to the data, in which the time points were pooled as replicates, it
18 was found that there was no statistical difference between the five sites for the 16S normalized data,
19 except in the case of *sul*(II) ($p=0.0117$). However, in performing the same test with non-normalized
20 data, it was found that site 1 and site 2 were statistically lower than sites 3, 4, and 5 in terms of *sul*(I)
21 ($p=0.00296$), *sul*(II) ($p=0.0199$), and *tet*(O) ($p=0.0102$). Though normalizing to 16S genes provides a
22 comparison of ARG as a proportion of the total population, arguably it may be the absolute quantities
23 of ARG that are more critical.

24 While spatial variations in ARG could be fairly well-characterized, it is difficult to identify clear
25 temporal patterns. Comparison of the two February sampling dates that were exactly a year apart

1 provides some insight. All four genes were either the same on average for both events (*tet(O)* for sites 1
2 and 4, and *sul(II)* for site 4 and 5) or higher in the 2006 event (all other genes, except *sul(II)* at sites 1
3 and 2, where it was not present) (Fig. 1). This suggests the possibility that all ARG are increasing in
4 concentration with time. However, the trends in between these two dates do not support this. Only
5 *tet(W)* and *tet(O)* at site 3 increase consistently with time. All remaining ARG at the five sites either
6 decrease before increasing (e.g., *tet(W)* at site 2 and *sul(II)* at site 3), are constant and then increase
7 (e.g., *tet(O)* at site 2 and *tet(W)* at site 1), or increase and then decrease (e.g., *tet(W)* at site 4 and site 5)
8 (Fig. 1). Therefore, no clear trend was identified with time.

9 It was also attempted to analyze trends in the data with respect to river flow rate. This was of interest
10 because flow rate directly relates to run-off and non-point source inputs, which were hypothesized in
11 the previous study to play a role in the observed increase in the number of kinds of ARG detected in
12 Poudre River sediments (38). The October, 2005, sampling date provided a second sampling date at
13 high-flow (14.9 cms), compared to the previously published April, 2004, high-flow sampling date (6.8
14 cms) (all other dates were at or below 1.0 cms). Interestingly, all four ARG increased on average at site
15 5 in comparing the high-flow October event with the immediately previous low-flow event in August
16 (Fig. 1). At site 4, *tet(W)* and *tet(O)* increased, but *sul(II)* stayed the same, and *sul(I)* went down.
17 There was no effect at all at site 3, which is affected primarily by point-discharge rather than run-off,
18 site 2 or site 1. However, attempts to plot ARG concentrations versus flow rate did not reveal any clear
19 trend. Thus, it is still not possible to make a conclusive judgment on the effect of flow rate on ARG
20 concentrations, though the role of non-point source inputs merits further investigation. To accomplish
21 this, it would be necessary to gather more data with time/flow, or else monitor a much more controlled
22 and smaller-scale system.

23 Wastewater Recycle Plant (WRP) and Drinking Water Treatment Plants (DWTPs)

24 A PCR presence/absence assay was conducted on the influent, intermediate effluent, and final
25 effluent of two drinking water treatment plants (DWTP “a” and DWTP “b”), and the pre-chlorinated

1 and chlorinated effluent of a wastewater recycle plant (WRP). It was observed that both *tet(W)* and
2 *tet(O)* were present at detectable levels in all samples except the source water for DWTP “a” (Fig. 3).
3 This indicates that the same two genes that were common in various environmental compartments in
4 northern Colorado, are also present in treated recycled wastewater and bulk drinking water. These two
5 genes also showed a response to the level of impact, e.g. they were highest in dairy lagoon water and
6 ditch water and lowest on average at the pristine site. Based on the intensity of the signal, they were
7 also higher in the recycled wastewater than in the drinking water, as would be expected. Though these
8 two ARG are not directly associated with any known human pathogens, they may be indicators of links
9 between human/agricultural activity and ARG in drinking water. Considering that drinking water is a
10 direct route to human consumers, this emphasizes the need to better understand the pathways by which
11 ARG are spread in the environment and potential ways that the spread of ARG may be reduced. For
12 example, vancomycin resistance genes were found in drinking water biofilms in a recent study (45).
13 Considering that vancomycin is typically the antibiotic of last resort when all else fails, this underscores
14 the need to address this issue before it is too late. One possibility may be to make simple modifications
15 to wastewater and drinking water treatment plants to reduce the spread of ARG.

16 *ARG as Emerging Contaminants*

17 Based on this study it is clear that ARG are present in various environmental compartments,
18 including river sediments, irrigation ditch water, dairy lagoon water, DWTPs, and a WRP.
19 Furthermore, quantitative techniques incorporating Q-PCR provide a means to compare concentration
20 of ARG associated with the known urban and agricultural impacts, which provides a more direct
21 measure than previous culture-based methods. Based on this occurrence survey, it is argued that ARG
22 are emerging contaminants that need to be further studied in the paradigm of environmental science and
23 engineering. The concept of ARG as “pollutants” has also been suggested by Rysz and Alvarez (46).

24 It should be noted that besides the tetracycline and sulfonamide ARG that were the focus of this
25 study, there are numerous other ARG that have been described in the literature, and likely even more

1 that have not yet been discovered, each potentially with its own unique properties. Thus, each ARG
2 may have different behaviors with respect to fate and transport and response to physical, chemical,
3 and/or biological treatment. In terms of defining fate and transport characteristics of ARG in general, it
4 is expected that their behavior will be distinct in comparison to “typical” contaminants. For example,
5 ARG may be sequestered with bacteria which are themselves transported, or they may be present as
6 naked DNA bound to clay particles (47). Furthermore, ARG may actually amplify in the environment
7 under some conditions. This is indeed a unique contaminant property. Considering the significance of
8 the problem of the spread of antibiotic resistance, further effort by environmental researchers to better
9 understand these emerging contaminants is well-warranted. This is especially true as the rate of
10 discovery and development of new antibiotics is continually declining (48), while the corresponding
11 development and spread of resistance is occurring at a rapid pace. Based on this study, understanding
12 ARG as emerging contaminants can add a new and important angle to helping to approach this
13 important problem.

14

15 **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

16 Funding for this study was provided by USDA NRI Watersheds program, the USDA Agricultural
17 Experiment Station at Colorado State University, and the NSF CAREER program. The authors would
18 like to thank Jessica Davis, Kathy Doesken, and Sung-Chul Kim for coordinating collection of ditch
19 and dairy lagoon water samples as well as the anonymous providers of the drinking water and recycle
20 plant samples.


21

22 **FIGURES**





23

1
2
3
4
5
6

Copy of ARG / Copy of 16S genes



- April 13th, 2004*
- February 17th, 2005*
- August 18th, 2005
- October 27th, 2005
- February 17th, 2006



1 **Figure 1.** Distribution of four ARG [*sul*(I), *sul*(II), *tet*(O), and *tet*(W)] in Poudre River sediments on
2 three sampling dates, compared to *two previously published sampling dates (April 13th, 2004 and
3 February 17th, 2005 (38)), as determined by Q-PCR. site1: pristine site; site 2: light agricultural
4 activity; site 3: heavy urban activity; site 4: heavy agricultural activity; and site 5: heavy urban and
5 agricultural activity. Error bars represent standard deviation of six measurements from three
6 independent Q-PCR runs analyzing DNA extract from composite samples.

7

8

9

10


11

12

13

14

15



1

2 **Figure 2.** Distribution of four ARG [*sul(I)*, *sul(II)*, *tet(O)*, and *tet(W)*] at ten sampling points of
 3 irrigation ditch water (DW-1 – DW-10) located between site 4 and site 5 compared with that of a
 4 microaerophillic dairy lagoon (LW-AE) and an anaerobic dairy lagoon (LW-AN). DW samples were
 5 concentrated from 500 ml, and LW samples were extracted directly from 1.8 ml. All samples were
 6 normalized to the total 16S rRNA genes. Error bars represent three independent Q-PCR runs in
 7 duplicate. *a* and *b* indicate that the data sets fell into two statistically different groups, according to the
 8 Mixed Procedure.

9


10

11

1

2

3



4

5

6 **Figure 3.** Agarose gel analysis of PCR presence/absence (in duplicate) of two ARG families: *tet(W)*
7 and *tet(O)*. + = positive control, - = negative control. Presence of band at same molecular weight as +
8 indicates presence of ARG. 1=wastewater recycle plant (WRP) effluent; 2=WRP chlorinated effluent;
9 3= drinking water treatment plant (DWTP) “a” influent; 4= DWTP “a” treated water pre-chlorination;
10 5= DWTP “a” treated water post-chlorination; 6= DWTP “b” influent water; 7= DWTP “b” treated
11 water pre-chlorination; 8= DWTP “b” treated water post-chlorination. Band appearing below 200bp is
12 consistent with primer dimer.

13

14

15

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

TABLES

Table 1. PCR Presence/Absence Assay of Various ARG in Ditch (DW)^a and Dairy Lagoon (LW) Water^b

ARG	DW-1	DW-2	DW-3	DW-4	DW-5	DW-6	DW-7	DW-8	DW-9	DW-10	LW-AE	LW-AN	+ Control
<i>tet</i> (BP)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
<i>tet</i> (O)	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+
<i>tet</i> (S)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
<i>tet</i> (T)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
<i>tet</i> (W)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>sul</i> (I)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>sul</i> (II)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
<i>sul</i> (III)	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
<i>sul</i> (A)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+

^aCollected August 18, 2005

^bCollected October 20, 2005

1 REFERENCES

- 2 1. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO annual report on infectious disease: Overcoming
3 antimicrobial resistance. <http://www.who.int/infectious-disease-report/2000/>, 2000.
- 4 2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). National nosocomial infections surveillance
5 (NNIS) system report, data summary from January 1992–June 2001, issued August 2001. *Am J.*
6 *Infect. Control.* **2001**, 29, 404–21.
- 7 3. Willems, R. J. L.; Top, J.; van Santen, M.; Robinson, D.A.; Coque, T. M.; Baquero, F.;
8 Grundmann, H.; Bonten, M. J. M. Global spread of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium*
9 from distinct nosocomial genetic complex. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* [serial on the Internet]. 2005 Jun.
10 Available from <http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/EID/vol11no06/04-1204.htm> .
- 11 4. Adam, D. Global antibiotic resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.*
12 **2002**, 50, 1-5 Suppl. 1 Jul.
- 13 5. Beekmann, S. E.; Heilmann, K. P.; Richter, S. S.; García-de-Lomas, J.; Doern, G. V.
14 Antimicrobial resistance in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, *Moraxella*
15 *catarrhalis* and group A β -haemolytic streptococci in 2002–2003: Results of the multinational
16 GRASP Surveillance Program. *Intl. J. Antimicrob. Agent.* **2005**, 25, 148-156.
- 17 6. Dye, C.; Williams, B. G. Criteria for the control of drug-resistant tuberculosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
18 *Sci. USA.* **2000**, 97 (14), 8180-8185.
- 19 7. Garrett, L. The coming plague: Newly emerging diseases in a world out of balance (Farrar, Straus,
20 and Giroux, New York, 1994).
- 21 8. Gaskins, H. R.; Collier, C. T.; Anderson, D. B. Antibiotics as growth promotants. *Anim.*
22 *Biotechnol.* **2002**, 13, 29-42.

- 1 9. Levy, S. B. The challenge of antibiotic resistance. *Sci. Am.* **1998**, 278, 46-53.
- 2 10. Elmund, G. K.; Morrison, S. M.; Grant, D. W.; Nevins, M. P. Role of excreted chlortetracycline in
3 modifying the decomposition process in feedlot waste. *Bull. Environ. Contamination and*
4 *Toxicology.* **1971**, 6, 129-132.
- 5 11. Feinman, S. E.; Matheson, J. G. Draft environmental impact statement, subtherapeutic
6 antibacterial agents in animal feeds. FDA, Dept. 3EW, Rockville, MD, 1978.
- 7 12. Jansen, I.; Tanghe, T.; Verstraete, W. Micropollutants: a bottleneck in sustainable wastewater
8 treatment. *Water Sci. Technol.* **1997**, 35 (10), 13-26.
- 9 13. Suidan, M. T.; Esperanza, M.; Zein, M.; McCauley, P.; Brenner, R. C.; Venosa, A. D. Challenges
10 in biodegradation of trace organic contaminants—gasoline oxygenates and sex hormones. *Wat.*
11 *Environ. Res.* **2005**, 77 (1), 4-11.
- 12 14. Sumpter, J. P.; Johnson, A. C. Lessons from endocrine disruption and their application to other
13 issues concerning trace organics in the aquatic environment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, 39
14 (12), 4321-4332.
- 15 15. Aarestrup, F. M.; Ahrens, P.; Madsen, M.; Pallesen, L. V.; Poulsen, R. L.; Westh, H.
16 Glycopeptide susceptibility among Danish *Enterococcus faecium* and *Enterococcus faecalis*
17 isolates of animal and human origin and PCR identification of genes within the *vanA* cluster.
18 *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **1996**, 40, 1938–1940.
- 19 16. Fedorka-Cray, P. J.; Englen, M. D.; Gray, J. T.; Hudson, C.; Headrick, M. L. Programs for
20 monitoring antimicrobial resistance. *Animal Biotechnol.* **2002**, 13 (1), 43–55.

- 1 17. Johnson, J.; Qaiyumi, S.; English, L.; Hayes, J.; White, D.; Joseph, S.; Wagner, D. *Comparison of*
2 *streptogramin-resistant Enterococcus faecium from poultry and humans*. AVMA Annual
3 Convention, Salt Lake City, UT, 2000.
- 4 18. Shea, K. M. Antibiotic resistance, what is the impact of agricultural uses of antibiotics on
5 children's health? *Pediatrics* **2003**, *112*, 253-258.
- 6 19. Smith, D. L.; Harris, A. D.; Johnson, J. A.; Silbergeld, E. K.; Morris Jr., J. G. Animal antibiotic
7 use has an early but important impact on the emergence of antibiotic resistance in human
8 commensal bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2002**, *99* (9), 6434-6439.
- 9 20. Sørum, H.; L'Abée-Lund, T. M. Antibiotic resistance in food-related bacteria-a result of
10 interfering with the global web of bacterial genetics. *Intl. J. Food Microbiol.* **2002**, *78*, 43-56.
- 11 21. Tauxe, R. V. Emerging foodborne diseases: an evolving public health challenge. *Emerg. Infect.*
12 *Dis.* **1997**, *3*, 425-434.
- 13 22. Teuber, M. Veterinary use and antibiotic resistance. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **2001**, *4*, 493-499.
- 14 23. Witte, W. Medical consequences of antibiotic use in agriculture. *Science.* **1998**, *279*, 996-997.
- 15 24. Bonten, M. J.; Willems, R.; Weinstein, R. A. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci: why are they
16 here, and where do they come from? *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2001**, *1*, 314-25.
- 17 25. Anderson, D. I.; Levin, B. R. The biological cost of antibiotic resistance. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*
18 **1999**, *2*, 489-493.
- 19 26. Bager, F.; Aarestrup, F. M., Madsen, M.; Wegener, H. C. Glycopeptide resistance in
20 *Enterococcus faecium* from broilers and pigs following discontinued use of avoparcin. *Microb.*
21 *Drug Resist.* **1999**, *5*, 53- 56.

- 1 27. Björkman, J.; Nagaev, I.; Berg, O. G.; Hughes, D.; Andersson, D. I. Effect of environment on
2 compensatory mutations to ameliorate costs of antibiotic resistance. *Science*. **2000**, *287*, 1479-
3 1482.
- 4 28. Manson, J. M.; Smith, J. M. B.; Cook, G. M. Persistence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in
5 New Zealand broilers after discontinuation of avoparcin use. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2004**, *70*
6 (10), 5764-5768.
- 7 29. Courvalin, P. Transfer of antibiotic resistance genes between gram-positive and gram negative
8 bacteria. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **1994**, *38*, 1447-1451.
- 9 30. Kruse, H.; Sorum, H. Transfer of multiple-drug resistance plasmids between bacteria of diverse
10 origins in natural microenvironments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1994**, *60* (11), 4015-4021.
- 11 31. Levy, S. B.; Fitzgerald, G. B.; Macone, A. B. Spread of antibiotic resistance plasmids from
12 chicken to chicken and from chicken to man. *Nature*. **1976**, *260*, 40-42.
- 13 32. Mazel, D. Integrons and the origin of antibiotic resistance gene cassettes. *ASM News*. **2004**, *70*
14 (11), 520.
- 15 33. Dalsgaard, A.; Forslund, A.; Tam, N. V.; Vinh, D. X.; Cam, P. D. Cholera in Vietnam: Changes
16 in genotypes and emergence of class I integrons containing aminoglycoside resistance gene
17 cassettes in *Vibrio cholerae* O1 strains Isolated from 1979 to 1996. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1999**, *37*
18 (3), 734-741.
- 19 34. Blum, S. A. E.; Lorenz, M. G.; Wackernagel, W. Mechanism of retarded DNA degradation and
20 prokaryotic origin of DNases in nonsterile soils. *System. Appl. Microbiol.* **1997**, *20* (4), 513-521.
- 21 35. Crecchio, C.; Ruggiero, P.; Curci, M.; Colombo, C.; Palumbo, G.; Stotzky, G. Binding of DNA
22 from *Bacillus subtilis* on montmorillonite-humic acids-aluminum or iron hydroxypolymers:

- 1 Effects on transformation and protection against DNase. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.* **2005**, *69* (3), 834-
2 84.
- 3 36. Hill, K. E.; Top, E. M. Gene transfer in soil systems using microcosms. *FEMS Microb. Ecol.*
4 **1998**, *25* (4), 319-329.
- 5 37. Yang, S.; Carlson, K. H. Evolution of antibiotic occurrence in a river through pristine, urban and
6 agricultural landscapes. *Water Res.* **2003**, *37* (19), 4645-4656.
- 7 38. Pei, R.; Kim, S. C.; Carlson, K. H.; Pruden, A. Effect of river landscape on the sediment
8 concentrations of antibiotics and corresponding antibiotic resistance genes (ARG). *Water Res.* (in
9 press).
- 10 39. Aminov, R. I.; Garrigues-Jeanjean, N.; Mackie, R. I. Molecular ecology of tetracycline resistance:
11 development and validation of primers for detection of tetracycline resistance genes encoding
12 ribosomal protection proteins. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2001**, *67*, 22-32.
- 13 40. Suzuki, M. T.; Taylor, L. T.; DeLong, E. F. Quantitative analysis of small-subunit rRNA genes in
14 mixed microbial populations via 5' -nuclease assays. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2000**, *66*, 4605-
15 4614.
- 16 41. Littell, R. C.; Milliken, G. A.; Stroup, W. W.; Wolfinger, R. D. *SAS System for Mixed Models*,
17 Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc., 1996.
- 18 42. Verbeke, G.; Molenberghs, G., eds. *Linear Mixed Models in Practice: A SAS-Oriented*
19 *Approach*, New York: Springer, 1997.
- 20 43. Searle, S. R. Mixed models and unbalanced data: Wherefrom, whereat, and whereto.
21 *Communications in Statistics—Theory and Methods*, **1988**, *17* (4), 935-968.

- 1 44. Singer, Judith D. Using SAS PROC MIXED to fit multilevel models, hierarchical models, and
2 individual growth models. *J. Educ. Behav. Stat.*, **1998**, 23 (4), 323–355.
- 3 45. Schwartz, T.; Kohnen, T.; Jansen, B.; Obst, U. Detection of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and their
4 resistance genes in wastewater, surface water, and drinking water biofilms. *FEMS Microbiol.*
5 *Ecol.* **2003**, 43, 325-335.
- 6 46. Rysz, M.; Alvarez, P. J. J. Amplification and attenuation of tetracycline resistance in soil bacteria:
7 aquifer column experiments. *Wat. Res.* **2004**, 38, 3705-3712.
- 8 47. Crecchio, C.; Ruggiero, P.; Curci, M.; Colombo, C.; Palumbo, G.; Stotzky, G. Binding of DNA
9 from *Bacillus subtilis* on montmorillonite-humic acids-aluminum or iron hydroxypolymers:
10 Effects on transformation and protection against DNase. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.* **2005**, 69 (3), 834-
11 84.
- 12 48. Projan, S. J.; Shlaes, D. M. Antibacterial drug discovery: is it all downhill from here? *Clin.*
13 *Microbiol. Infect.* **2004**, 10, 18-22 Suppl. 4.

14
15

1 **Brief:** This study explores the distribution of sulfonamide and tetracycline antibiotic resistance genes
2 (ARG) in various environmental compartments in northern Colorado with respect to urban/agricultural
3 impacts.
4