Home	Results	FAQ	Instructions	Cover Sheet	Course Info	Logout

Home 
Home Survey Results 
Home Course Report

# **Course Report**

You are reviewing results for the following course, instructor, and academic term:

### ECE456 Computer Networks, Section 001

Instructor: Anura Jayasumana, Professor

College and Department: Engineering, Electrical and Computer Engr Term: Spring 2015 Course Reference Number: 14760 Enrollment: 31

### **Detailed Summary of Submitted Forms**

#### Survey Forms Submitted: 22

#### **Share Survey Forms**

The scanned survey forms (available below as PDF files) are intended solely for viewing by the course instructor. Students and other members of the CSU community do not have access to these forms. You may, however, provide access to the survey forms to selected individuals. You can remove access to the forms at any time.

#### Options:

**H** Share Forms

Manage Access to Forms

1. How well were the course objectives communicated to students?	Excellent	Above Average 3	Average 2	Below Average O	Poor 0	Not Applicable O	No Response O	Mean: 4.68 (1-5 scale)
2. How well was the grading system communicated to students?	Excellent 13	Above Average 5	Average	Below Average 0	Poor 0	Not Applicable O	No Response O	<b>Mean:</b> 4.41 (1-5 scale)
3. How well did class sessions increase your understanding of the subject?	Excellent 14	Above Average 5	Average 2	Below Average 1	Poor 0	Not Applicable O	No Response O	Mean: 4.45 (1-5 scale)
4. How well did reading assignments increase your understanding of the subject?	Excellent 10	Above Average 4	Average 0	Below Average 1	Poor 0	Not Applicable 7	No Response O	<b>Mean:</b> 4.53 (1-5 scale)
5. How well did other course assignments increase your understanding of the subject?	Excellent 16	Above Average 3	Average 2	Below Average 1	Poor 0	Not Applicable O	No Response O	Mean: 4.55 (1-5 scale)
6. How well did other learning resources used in this course — such as related websites, software, study guides, and media — increase	Excellent 15	Above Average 1	Average 2	Below Average 1	Poor 0	Not Applicable 3	No Response O	<b>Mean:</b> 4.58 (1-5 scale)

1 of 4

your understanding of the subject?

7.	How well was feedback		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.27 (1-5 scale)
	provided — such as reviews, quizzes,	Excellent	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Applicable	Response	
	critiques, and homework	11	7	3	1	0	0	0	
	— to help you track your progress?								-
8.	If you accessed course information through an		Above	_	Below	_	Not	No	Mean: 4.64 (1-5 scale)
	online system — such as a	Excellent	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Applicable	Response	
	website or RamCT — how do you rate the ease of	15	6	1	0	0	0	0	
	finding information?								
9.	How do you rate the clarity and completeness		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.27 (1-5 scale)
	of instructions provided in	Excellent	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Applicable	Response	
	the course for engaging in class activities and	13	5	1	3	0	0	0	
	completing course work?								
10.	How do you rate the		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.55 (1-5 scale)
	intellectual challenge of this course?	Excellent	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Applicable	Response	
		13	8	1	0	0	0	0	
11.	How do you rate this course?		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.55 (1-5 scale)
	course?	Excellent	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Applicable	Response	
		15	4	3	0	0	0	0	
									1
12.	How do you rate the instructor's knowledge of		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.95 (1-5 scale)
	the subject?	Excellent	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Applicable	Response	
		21	1	0	0	0	0	0	
									1
13.	How effectively did the instructor facilitate	<b>E</b> ven llent	Above	A	Below	Deer	Not	No	Mean: 4.64 (1-5 scale)
	student learning?		0	0	Ũ		Applicable	•	
		16	4	2	0	0	0	0	
14	Llow do you rate the								1
14.	How do you rate the instructor's enthusiasm	Excellent	Above Average	Δverage	Below	Poor	Not Applicable	No Response	Mean: 4.82 (1-5 scale)
	for teaching the subject?	19	2	1	0	0	0	0	
		19	Z	I	0	0	0	0	
15.	How well did the	[							]
	instructor organize the	Excellent	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Poor	Not Applicable	No Response	Mean: 4.59 (1-5 scale)
	course?	16	4	1	1	0	0	0	
						0	<u> </u>	Ŭ	]
16.	How prepared was the		Above		Below		Not	No	Moon: 4 77 (1 5 acc/a)
	instructor for class sessions?	Excellent		Average		Poor	Applicable		Mean: 4.77 (1-5 scale)

18 3 1 0 0 0 0

17. How do you rate the		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.77 (1-5 scale)
instructor's effectiveness at managing class	Excellent		Average		Poor	Applicable		
sessions?	19	1	2	0	0	0	0	
								J
18. How do you rate the		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.64 (1-5 scale)
instructor's effectiveness	Excellent	Average	Average		Poor	Applicable	Response	Weall: 4.04 (1-5 scale)
at facilitating online and/or in-class	17	2	3	0	0	0	0	
interactions				-	-			J
(communication, discussions, etc.)?								
19. How do you rate the		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.64 (1-5 scale)
instructor's fairness and impartiality in the	Excellent	Average	Average		Poor	Applicable	Response	
assignment of grades?	16	4	2	0	0	0	0	
								J
20. How well did the		Above		Below		Not	No	
instructor create an	Excellent	Average	Average		Poor	Applicable		Mean: 4.82 (1-5 scale)
atmosphere that was respectful of student	19	2	1	0	0	0	0	
opinions, ideas, and		-		0	0			J
differences?								
21. How effectively did the	[							1
instructor communicate?	Excellent	Above Average	Average	Below	Poor	Not Applicable	No Response	Mean: 4.55 (1-5 scale)
	15	5	1	1	0	0	0	]
22. How do you rate the	[							]
availability of the	Excollopt	Above	Avorago	Below	Poor	Not Applicable	No	Mean: 4.65 (1-5 scale)
instructor to help students								
outside of class?	17	0	2	1	0	2	0	
								1
23. How do you rate this instructor?	E	Above	•	Below	Deer	Not	No	Mean: 4.73 (1-5 scale)
						Applicable		
	18	2	2	0	0	0	0	
								1
24. How do you rate the overall quality of the		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.14 (1-5 scale)
classroom?	Excellent	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Applicable	Response	
	8	9	3	1	0	0	1	
25. How do you rate the		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.38 (1-5 scale)
quality of the equipment (computers, projectors,	Excellent	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Applicable	Response	
and so on) in the	11	7	3	0	0	0	1	
classroom?	ι <u> </u>							
26. How do you rate your							•	]
class attendance in this	Excellent	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Poor	Not Applicable	No Response	Mean: 4.62 (1-5 scale)

15 4 2 0 0 0 1

27. How do you rate your level of effort in this course?	Excellent	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Poor	Not Applicable	No Response	Mean: 4.65 (1-5 scale)
	13	7	0	0	0	0	2	
28. I am majoring in the department offering this course.	Yes No	No Respor	nse					
	19 0	5						
29. I expect to receive the following grade in this course.		D F S 0 0 0		esponse				
	13 0 0	0 0 0						
Student Comments								
Company Ontions								

## Survey Options

To view PDF copies of the course survey forms, please click on the View Forms button. If you wish to receive the forms via email, please click on the Email Forms button. A file containing the forms will be sent to your email address. To view a more compact summary of the overall results of the form, please click on the Summary button. View or Download Forms Email Forms

**Request Original Forms** 

View Summary

Original forms are available for all courses beginning with the fall 2011 semester. Forms are kept on file for one year following the end of the semester in which it is

offered and then the originals are destroyed. You may pick up your forms at the University Testing Center or you may have them mailed to your department office.

Copyright © 2010-2017 | Disclaimer | Privacy | Equal Opportunity | Search CSU | CSU Directory | CSU A-Z