Home	Results	FAQ	Instructions	Cover Sheet	Course Info	Logout
потпе	Results	FAQ	Instructions	cover sneet	course mio	LOGO

Home 
Home Survey Results 
Home Course Report

# **Course Report**

You are reviewing results for the following course, instructor, and academic term:

#### ECE658 Internet Engineering, Section 001

Instructor: Anura Jayasumana, Professor

College and Department: Engineering, Electrical and Computer Engr Term: Spring 2015 Course Reference Number: 13801 Enrollment: 21

## **Detailed Summary of Submitted Forms**

#### Survey Forms Submitted: 20

### **Share Survey Forms**

The scanned survey forms (available below as PDF files) are intended solely for viewing by the course instructor. Students and other members of the CSU community do not have access to these forms. You may, however, provide access to the survey forms to selected individuals. You can remove access to the forms at any time.

#### Options:

🛨 Share Forms

Manage Access to Forms

1. How well were the course objectives communicated to students?	Excellent	Above Average 3	Average 1	Below Average O	Poor 0	Not Applicable O	No Response 2	Mean: 4.72 (1-5 scale)
2. How well was the grading system communicated to students?	Excellent 15	Above Average 4	Average 0	Below Average O	Poor 0	Not Applicable O	No Response 1	<b>Mean:</b> 4.79 (1-5 scale)
3. How well did class sessions increase your understanding of the subject?	Excellent 13	Above Average 3	Average 3	Below Average 0	Poor 0	Not Applicable O	No Response 1	Mean: 4.53 (1-5 scale)
4. How well did reading assignments increase your understanding of the subject?	Excellent 8	Above Average 5	Average 2	Below Average 0	Poor 0	Not Applicable 4	No Response 1	<b>Mean:</b> 4.40 (1-5 scale)
5. How well did other course assignments increase your understanding of the subject?	Excellent 10	Above Average 6	Average 3	Below Average 0	Poor 0	Not Applicable O	No Response 1	Mean: 4.37 (1-5 scale)
<ol> <li>How well did other learning resources used in this course — such as related websites, software, study guides, and media — increase</li> </ol>	Excellent 9	Above Average 7	Average 2	Below Average 1	Poor 0	Not Applicable O	No Response 1	Mean: 4.26 (1-5 scale)

1 of 4

your understanding of the subject?

7.	How well was feedback		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.39 (1-5 scale)
	provided — such as reviews, quizzes,	Excellent	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Applicable	Response	
	critiques, and homework	10	6	1	1	0	0	2	
	— to help you track your								]
	progress?								
8	If you accessed course	[							J
0.	information through an	Eventions	Above	A	Below	Deer	Not	No	Mean: 4.69 (1-5 scale)
	online system — such as a	Excellent	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Applicable	Response	
	website or RamCT – how	14	0	1	1	0	2	2	
	do you rate the ease of finding information?								
	5								
9.	How do you rate the		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.33 (1-5 scale)
	clarity and completeness	Excellent	Average	Average		Poor	Applicable		Weall. 4.35 (1-5 scale)
	of instructions provided in the course for engaging in	10	6	0	2	0	0	2	
	class activities and	10	0	0	Z	0	0	Z	
	completing course work?								
		r							1
10.	How do you rate the intellectual challenge of		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.53 (1-5 scale)
	this course?	Excellent	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Applicable	Response	
		13	3	3	0	0	0	1	
									1
11.	How do you rate this		Above		Below		Not	No	
	course?	Excellent	Average	Average		Poor	Applicable		Mean: 4.65 (1-5 scale)
		12	4	1	0	0	0	3	
		12	-	•	0	0	0	5	
12	How do you rate the	r							I
12.	How do you rate the instructor's knowledge of	E II t	Above	•	Below	Daar	Not	No	Mean: 4.84 (1-5 scale)
	the subject?	Excellent	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Applicable	Response	
		17	1	1	0	0	0	1	
13.	How effectively did the		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.58 (1-5 scale)
	instructor facilitate student learning?	Excellent	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Applicable	Response	
	student learning.	13	4	2	0	0	0	1	
									]
14.	How do you rate the		A Ia		Dalara		Net	NI	
	instructor's enthusiasm	Excellent	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Poor	Not Applicable	No Response	Mean: 4.63 (1-5 scale)
	for teaching the subject?		5	5	5			·	
		15	1	3	0	0	0	1	
		·							1
15.	How well did the		Above		Below		Not	No	Mean: 4.53 (1-5 scale)
	instructor organize the course?	Excellent	Average	Average	Average	Poor	Applicable	Response	
		12	3	1	1	0	0	3	
		L							J
16.	How prepared was the		Above		Below		Not	No	Moon: 4.79 (1.5.000k)
	instructor for class	Excellent	Above	Average		Poor	Applicable		Mean: 4.78 (1-5 scale)
	sessions?	I	-	-	-			-	I

14 4 0 0 0 0 2

<ul> <li>17. How do you rate the instructor's effectiveness at managing class sessions?</li> <li>18. How do you rate the instructor's effectiveness at facilitating online and/or in-class interactions (communication, discussions, etc.)?</li> </ul>	13	4 Above	Average 2 Average 1	0 Below	0	Not Applicable O Not Applicable O	No Response 1 No Response 2	Mean: 4.58 (1-5 scale) Mean: 4.61 (1-5 scale)
19. How do you rate the instructor's fairness and impartiality in the assignment of grades?	Excellent 14	Above Average 2	Average 2	Below Average O	Poor 0	Not Applicable O	No Response 2	Mean: 4.67 (1-5 scale)
20. How well did the instructor create an atmosphere that was respectful of student opinions, ideas, and differences?	Excellent 13	Above Average 5	Average 1	Below Average O	Poor 0	Not Applicable O	No Response 1	Mean: 4.63 (1-5 scale)
21. How effectively did the instructor communicate?	Excellent 12	Above Average 5	Average 2	Below Average 0	Poor 0	Not Applicable 0	No Response 1	Mean: 4.53 (1-5 scale)
22. How do you rate the availability of the instructor to help students outside of class?	Excellent 12	Above Average 2	Average 4	Below Average O	Poor 0	Not Applicable 1	No Response 1	Mean: 4.44 (1-5 scale)
23. How do you rate this instructor?	Excellent	Above Average 4	Average 2	Below Average 0	Poor 0	Not Applicable 0	No Response 1	Mean: 4.58 (1-5 scale)
24. How do you rate the overall quality of the classroom?	Excellent 13	Above Average 4	Average 1	Below Average O	Poor 0	Not Applicable O	No Response 2	Mean: 4.67 (1-5 scale)
25. How do you rate the quality of the equipment (computers, projectors, and so on) in the classroom?	Excellent 14	Above Average 3	Average 1	Below Average 0	Poor 0	Not Applicable O	No Response 2	Mean: 4.72 (1-5 scale)
26. How do you rate your class attendance in this course?	Excellent	Above Average	Average	Below Average	Poor	Not Applicable	No Response	Mean: 4.42 (1-5 scale)

11 6 1 1 0 0 1

27. How do you rate your level of effort in this course?	Excellent 14	Above Average 4	Average 1	Below Average 0	Poor 0	Not Applicable O	No Response 1	Mean: 4.68 (1-5 scale)
28. I am majoring in the department offering this course.	Yes No 16 3	No Respor 1	nse					
29. I expect to receive the following grade in this course.		D F S 0 0 0		esponse 2				
Student Comments Survey Options	<b>i</b>							

To view PDF copies of the course survey forms, please click on the View Forms button. If you wish to receive the forms via email, please click on the Email Forms button. A file containing the forms will be sent to your email address. To view a more compact summary of the overall results of the form, please click on the Summary button. View or Download Forms Email Forms

View Summary

**Request Original Forms** 

Original forms are available for all courses beginning with the fall 2011 semester. Forms are kept on file for one year following the end of the semester in which it is

offered and then the originals are destroyed. You may pick up your forms at the University Testing Center or you may have them mailed to your department office.

Copyright © 2010-2017 | Disclaimer | Privacy | Equal Opportunity | Search CSU | CSU Directory | CSU A-Z