

SIGNALS AND SYSTEMS II LABORATORY 2: The Z Transform, the DTFT, and Digital Filters

INTRODUCTION

The Z transform pairs that one encounters when solving difference equations involve discrete-time signals, which are geometric (or exponential) in the time domain and *rational* in the frequency domain. MATLAB provides tools for dealing with this class of signals. Our goals in this lab are to

- i. gain experience with the MATLAB tools
- ii. experiment with the properties of the Z transform and the Discrete Time Fourier Transform
- iii. develop some familiarity with filters, including the classical Butterworth and Chebychev lowpass and bandpass filters, all-pass filters, and comb filters.

THE Z TRANSFORM AND THE DTFT

The *Z transform* provides a *frequency domain* version of a discrete-time signal. Discrete time signals are sequences, and the Z transform is defined by

$$(1) \quad \{h_k\} \xleftrightarrow{\text{Z transform}} H(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_k z^{-k}, \quad z \in \text{ROC}.$$

Consider, for example, the elementary Z transform pair

$$(2) \quad \{h_k\} = a^k u_k \xleftrightarrow{Z} H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - az^{-1}}, \quad |z| > |a|$$

where $\{u_k\}$ is the unit step function. The time domain sequence $\{h_k\}$ and the frequency function $H(z)$ are alternate ways of describing the same signal. In the time domain, $\{h_k\}$ is *exponential*. In the frequency domain, $H(z)$ is *rational* or, by definition, the ratio of two *polynomials*. For discrete-time applications, we will use the representation

$$(3) \quad H(z) = z^v \frac{B(z)}{A(z)},$$

where $B(z) = b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + \dots + b_m z^{-m}$, $A(z) = 1 + a_1 z^{-1} + a_2 z^{-2} + \dots + a_n z^{-n}$, and v is an integer. This numbering of the coefficients is not standard for MATLAB, if we are talking about polynomials, but it is consistent with the way the row vectors a and b are used in the filter function. The indices will be off by one, of course. The representation is unique if we demand that the end coefficients b_0, b_m , and a_n are not zero.

The *poles* of $H(z)$ are the roots of the denominator polynomial $A(z)$. At a pole, $H(z)$ becomes infinite. The *zeros* of $H(z)$ are the roots of the numerator polynomial $B(z)$. At a zero, $H(z)$ is zero. A pole-zero plot of $H(z)$ simply places the poles (using the symbol \times) and the zeros (using the symbol \circ) on the complex plane. For stability, it is necessary that the poles of $H(z)$ be inside the unit disk, or in other words have absolute value less than one. The complex frequency response is computed by evaluating $H(z)$ on the unit circle $z = e^{j\theta}$, $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$.

This class of signals is most appropriate for discrete time linear filters. All filters which can be updated with a finite number of multiplications and additions per output sample will be in this class. In other words, these are the only filters that can be realized by DSP processors. The choice of the letter ' h ' for the above signal is commonly used for

filters. In the time domain, $\{h_k\}$ is the *unit pulse response sequence* of the filter. In the frequency domain, $H(z)$ is the *transfer function* of the filter. If we set $z = e^{j\theta}$, then we get the *complex frequency response function* $H(e^{j\theta})$. In fact, with $z = e^{j\theta}$, the Z transform becomes the *DTFT*, or Discrete Time Fourier Transform:

$$(4) \quad \{h_k\} \xleftrightarrow{\text{DTFT}} H(e^{j\theta}) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_k e^{-jk\theta}.$$

This transform has the inversion rule

$$(5) \quad \{h_k\} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H(e^{j\theta}) e^{jk\theta} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

But there are conditions: the ROC must include the unit circle ($z = e^{j\theta}$, $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$). The example in equation (2) will be consistent with equation (5) if and only if $|a| < 1$. Just as there was in the Laplace Transform, there will be a Region of Convergence, or *ROC*, and the choice of inversion must be consistent with the ROC. There are two important cases:

Application	Condition on h	Region of Convergence
Causal sequences	$\{h_k\} = 0$, for $k < 0$	$ z > \max$ of the set of pole radii
Anti-Causal sequences	$\{h_k\} = 0$, for $k \geq 0$	$ z < \min$ of the set of pole radii
Finite Energy sequences	$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h_k ^2 < \infty$	$1 - \varepsilon < z < 1 + \varepsilon$

The first case is the one to respect when you are solving initial value problems, or difference equations for causal systems, like sampled data control systems and real-time digital filters. The second case is used when designing matched filters $H(-z)$ or factoring spectral polynomials as $S(z) = H(z)H(\frac{1}{z})$. The third case is the one to use when the application need not be causal, but must involve a bounded sequence. Such problems are common in communication systems. This is the case that one must assume when the discrete time Fourier transform is computed. In the causal case, poles outside the unit circle, i.e. with absolute values greater than one, mean that the system is unstable. In this case the sequence $\{h_k\}$ will be unbounded, and the response to a unit pulse will explode. In the finite energy case, poles outside the unit circle mean only that the inverse transform $\{h_k\}$ will not be causal, but it will be bounded.

MATLAB TOOLS FOR DISCRETE TIME SYSTEMS

Using the ‘help’ command, investigate the MATLAB standard functions: *residue*, *conv*, *filter*, *impz*, *freqz*, and *invztrans*. Then look at the homebrew functions ‘plotZTP.m’, ‘z_rev.m’, and ‘z_mult.m’ located on the web page under ‘Functions for Lab 3’. The tool MATLAB ‘freqz’ is very handy, but we will use ‘plotZTP.m’ to gain the same information, and more! (NB: The ‘plotZTP.m’ requires two other homebrew functions, ‘gpfx.m’, ‘pzd.m’)

Partial Fraction Expansions

When $B(z)$ has degree equal to that of $A(z)$, and $A(z)$ does not have repeated roots, then the Z transform pair for $H(z) = B(z)/A(z)$ may be expressed in certain form. To see this, consider

$$H(z) = \frac{b_0 + b_1 z^{-1}}{1 - a_1 z^{-1}} = b_0 \frac{1}{1 - a_1 z^{-1}} + b_1 z^{-1} \frac{1}{1 - a_1 z^{-1}} \longleftrightarrow \{h_k\} = b_0 a_1^k \{u_k\} + b_1 a_1^{(k-1)} \{u_{k-1}\}$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{h_k\} &= b_0 a_1^k \{u_k\} + b_1 a_1^{(k-1)} \{u_{k-1}\} \\
 &= b_0 \{\delta_k\} + (b_0 a_1 + b_1) \{\delta_{k-1}\} + (b_0 a_1^2 + b_1 a_1) \{\delta_{k-2}\} + \dots \\
 &= b_0 \delta[k] + (b_0 a_1 + b_1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_1^{(n-1)} \{\delta_{k-n}\} \\
 &= b_0 \{\delta_k\} + (b_0 a_1 + b_1) a_1^{(k-1)} \{u_{k-1}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\{h_k\} = b_0 \{\delta_k\} + (b_0 a_1 + b_1) a_1^{(k-1)} \{u_{k-1}\} \longleftrightarrow H(z) = b_0 + z^{-1} (b_0 a_1 + b_1) \frac{1}{1 - a_1 z^{-1}}$$

An identical result may be obtained by writing out the long division of $H(z)$ which will result in the right hand side of the equation above. In general, when $B(z)$ has degree equal to that of $A(z)$ and $A(z)$ does not have repeated roots, the Z transform pair for $H(z)=B(z)/A(z)$ is

$$(11) \quad \{h_k\} = b_0 \{\delta_k\} + \left[\sum_{m=1}^n \gamma_m \alpha_m^{k-1} \right] \{u_{k-1}\} \xleftrightarrow{Z} H(z) = b_0 + \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\gamma_m}{z - \alpha_m} = b_0 + z^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\gamma_m}{1 - \alpha_m z^{-1}}.$$

The right hand side of the above is a *partial fraction expansion*, of $H(z)$. Here, b_0 is the feed-through term, γ_m are the residues, and α_m are the poles of $H(z)$. Under the conditions specified, the parameters $b_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_m, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ can be computed using the MATLAB tool 'residue'. For example

```

»[b,a]=butter(3,.1) % construct an example H(z)

b = 0.0029 0.0087 0.0087 0.0029
a =1.0000 -2.3741 1.9294 -0.5321

»[gamma,alpha,bzero]=residue(b,a) % do a partial fraction expansion
gamma =
-0.1102 - 0.1083i
-0.1102 + 0.1083i
0.2361
alpha =
0.8238 + 0.2318i
0.8238 - 0.2318i
0.7265
bzero =0.0029

```

From this you can write down the partial fraction expansion for $H(z)$. Do It.

Simulating Digital Filters

The function 'conv' does sequence convolution. The MATLAB function 'filter' will approximate the convolution action of the discrete-time filter $H(z)$. The input parameters are the row vectors [b] and [a] which parameterize $H(z)$, and the long row vector [x] which represents the input. The output is a row vector [y] whose size is the same as that of [x]. Suppose that $\{h_k\}$ is causal, and has a Z transform $H(z)$ given by equation (3), with v equal to zero. Suppose that the input sequence $\{x_k\}$ is also causal. Then the output sequence $\{y_k\}$ will also be causal and will satisfy the difference equation

$$(12) \quad a * y = b * x, \text{ or } \sum_{i=0}^n a_i y_{k-i} = \sum_{i=0}^m b_i x_{k-i}, \text{ or } y_k = -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i y_{k-i} + \sum_{i=0}^m b_i x_{k-i}$$

The solution to this difference equation is the convolution of the input sequence and the pulse response sequence:

$$(13) \quad y = h * x, \text{ or } y_k = \sum_{i=0}^k h_i x_{k-i}, \text{ where } \sum_{i=0}^n a_i h_{k-i} = \sum_{i=0}^m b_i \delta_{k-i}$$

The MATLAB function 'filter' follows equation (12), while the MATLAB function 'conv' follows equation (13). There is a difference in the *tails* however. The length of the sequence [filter(b,a,x)] will be the same as the sequence [x]. The length of the sequence [conv(h,x)] will be the sum of the lengths of [h] and [x] minus one. Try this:

```

»[b,a]=butter(3,1); % construct a butterworth lowpass filter
»x=randn(1,51); % construct a white noise sequence for k=0 to 50
»y=filter(b,a,x); % construct 51 samples of the output
»subplot(2,1,1),plot(y,axis([0 100 -1 1])
»h=filter(b,a,[1,zeros(1,50)]); % construct pulse response, 0 to 50
»y=conv(h,x); % now use the convolution sum
»subplot(2,1,2),plot(y,axis([0 100 -1 1]) % compare with the previous y

```

The two graphs should agree for k=1 to 51, but the lower one will have more values. These extra values will not be correct, however, because the pulse response is good only out to k=50.

plotZTP: Displaying Z Transform Pairs

The following function will make a plot with four parts, a pole-zero diagram, a graph of h_k from -tmax to tmax, and graphs of the magnitude and phase of the complex frequency response function $H(e^{j\theta})$. It uses the representation of equation (5), and will assume that the sequence $\{h_k\}$ is bounded. It will therefore plot non-causal sequences when necessary. An example of the output is given in Figure One for the third order Butterworth digital filter constructed previously. The frequency response plots use a normalized frequency, so that the maximum of 1 corresponds to the half sampling frequency $f_s/2$, or $\theta = \pi$. Notice that the time domain signal is plotted using the MATLAB function 'stem' to emphasize its discrete nature. The command used is »plotZTP(b,a,0,30). Type

```

»help plotZTP
plotZTP(b,a,nu,tmax)
Display Z transform pairs: plot
(1) pole zero diagram,
(2) frequency response
(3) pulse response on [-tmax,tmax]
h(k) <--> H(z)=(z^nu)*B(z)/A(z),
B(z)=b0+b1*z^(-1)+...+bm*z^(-m), etc.
b=[b0,b1,...,bm],a=[1,a1,a2,...,an]
b0,bm,an should all be nonzero
This function requires two other
homebrew functions, 'gpx.m' and 'pzd.m'

```

This is what you should see:




Figure One. A Butterworth filter Z-Transform pair.

PROPERTIES OF THE Z TRANSFORM

The following table contains Z transform properties that can be exploited with profit.

Property	Time Domain	Z Domain
convolution-multiplication	$\{y_k\} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} h_i x_{k-i}$	$Y(z) = H(z)X(z)$
time shift	$\{y_k\} = \{x_{k-m}\}$	$Y(z) = z^{-m} X(z)$
Modulation	$\{y_k\} = \{\cos(k\theta_0)x_k\}$	$Y(z) = \frac{1}{2} X(e^{j\theta_0} z) + \frac{1}{2} X(e^{-j\theta_0} z)$
time reversal	$\{y_k\} = \{x_{-k}\}$	$Y(z) = X(z^{-1})$
decimation by two	$\{y_k\} = \begin{cases} \{x_k\}, & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ 0, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$	$Y(z) = \frac{1}{2} [X(z) + X(-z)]$

Assignment:

For this assignment, you will need the following homebrew functions: 'plotZTP.m', 'gpfx.m', 'pzd.m', 'zmodu.m', 'zmult.m', and 'z_rev.m'. These are located on the web page under 'Functions for Lab 3'. The rest of the functions will either be built-in MATLAB functions, or functions that you create.

1. *Multiplication-Convolution*

Construct two Z transform parameterizations, corresponding to Butterworth lowpass and bandpass filters as follows:

```
»[bh,ah]=butter(4,.6);  
»[bx,ax]=butter(4,.4,'high');
```

Examine each of these signals using 'plotZTP.m' and print the results. (Use zero for the 'nu' parameter.) Then construct impulse response sequences for each and the convolution of the two and display them as follows:

```
»x=filter(bx,ax,[1,zeros(1,20)]);  
»h=filter(bh,ah,[1,zeros(1,20)]);  
»figure(1),subplot(3,1,1),stem(x)  
»subplot(3,1,2),stem(h)  
»y=conv(h,x);  
»subplot(3,1,3),stem(y)
```

Now, using the tool for multiplying Z transforms, construct the Z transform representation of y via

```
»[by,ay,ny]=z_mult(bx,ax,0,bh,ah,0);  
»figure(2),plotZTP(by,ay,ny,40)
```

The time domain panel should be the same as the previous graph of y.

2. *Time Shifts*

Produce two plots using »plotZTP(bh,ah,nu,30) with the shift parameter 'nu' equal to 5 and then -5. Comment on the resulting graphs. Are there changes in the magnitude plot? The phase plot? The time plot? Explain any changes.

3. *Modulation*

Use the homebrew function 'z_modu' to construct $G(z) = \frac{1}{2} [H(e^{j\theta_0} z) + H(e^{-j\theta_0} z)]$. If $H(z)$ is a lowpass filter, then $G(z)$ should look like a bandpass filter. (In this example $H(z)$ is a *finite impulse response* or *FIR* filter. It has no poles, only zeros.)

```
»hb=ones(1,20);ha=1;figure(1),plotZTP(hb,ha,0,20)  
»[gb,ga,gn]=z_modu(hb,ha,0,.2);figure(2),plotZTP(gb,ga,gn,20)
```

Comment on the resulting graphs.

Assignment:

4. *Time reversal*

Construct $H(z)$ and make plots of $H(z)$, $H(z^{-1})$ and $H(z) \cdot H(z^{-1})$, as follows:

```
»hb=ones(1,10);ha=[1,zeros(1,9),0.5];figure(1),plotZTP(hb,ha,0,50)
»[hb1,ha1,hn1]=z_rev(hb,ha,0);
»figure(2),plotZTP(hb1,ha1,hn1,50)
»[hha,hhb,hhn]=z_mult(hb,ha,0,hb1,ha1,hn1);
»figure(3),plotZTP(hha,hhb,hhn,50)
```

Compare all plots for $H(z^{-1})$ with those of $H(z)$, Explain the differences. Then comment on all four parts of the plots for $H(z) \cdot H(z^{-1})$, relating them to the same graphs for $H(z)$. Why does the phase trivialize? Note that the magnitude of $H(z) \cdot H(z^{-1})$ is the square of the magnitude of $H(z)$.

5. *Decimation by Two*

Study the code for the tools '`z_rev.m`' and '`z_modu.m`'. Then write a tool to do decimation and produce

$G(z) = \frac{1}{2}[H(z) + H(-z)]$ from $H(z)$. Your specifications should read

```
% [gb,ga,gn]=z_down(hb,ha,hn)
% G(z)=.5*[H(z)+H(-z)]
```

(The job can be done with five lines, having one statement per line.) Test your tool as follows:

```
»[gb,ga,gn]=z_down(hb,ha,0);figure(2),plotZTP(gb,ga,gn,40)
```

The sequence $\{g_k\}$ should agree with the sequence $\{h_k\}$ for even indices, but be zero for odd indices. The poles of $G(z)$ should consist of the poles of $H(z)$, and the negatives of the poles of $H(z)$. But don't expect the zeros to behave the same way. Provide printouts of the plot of $G(z)$ and the code for '`z_down.m`'.

(Note: if you use $H(z)$ from part (4), there will be some pole-zero cancellation.)

6. *Butterworth and Chebychev Filters*

There are ways of choosing the poles and zeros of a filter $H(z)$ so that it acts as a lowpass or bandpass filter. A few classical filter designs are used extensively, including Butterworth and Chebychev filters. Butterworth was an English electrical engineer and Pafnuti L. Tchebycheff (1821-1894) was a professor of Mathematics at the University of Petrograd. Use the MATLAB 'help' command to investigate the functions 'butter', 'cheby1', and 'cheby2'. Then do several repetitions of the commands

```
»n=3;beta=.5;[b,a]=butter(n,beta);plotZTP(b,a,0,30)
```

except vary the filter order 'n' and normalized cutoff frequency 'beta' ($\beta = 2f_c / f_s$). Then experimentally determine an approximate formula for

- i. the time position of the peak of the sequence h_k ,
- ii. the height of the peak of the sequence h_k ,
- iii. the number of samples between zero crossings in h_k .

Report your results.

Assignment:

7. *All-pass filters*

Let $A(z) = 1 + a_1 z^{-1} + a_2 z^{-2} + \dots + a_n z^{-n}$ be any polynomial whose roots are all inside the unit circle. Let $B(z) = z^{-n} A(z^{-1}) = a_n + a_{n-1} z^{-1} + a_{n-2} z^{-2} + \dots + z^{-n}$. The coefficients of $B(z)$ are the same as those of $A(z)$, except in the reverse order. Now let $H(z) = B(z)/A(z)$. This filter will be an *all-pass* filter. Do this and print the resulting graphs:

```
»[b,a]=butter(5,.2);b=flipud(a)';plotZTP(b,a,0,40)
```

Show mathematically why the following are true:

- i. The magnitude of the all-pass is one: $|H(e^{j\theta})| = 1$.
- ii. The zeros of $B(z)$ are the reciprocals of the zeros of $A(z)$.
- iii. The coefficients of $A(z^{-1})$ are time reversals of those for $A(z)$ and the coefficients of $B(z)$ are those of $A(z)$ made causal.

All-pass filters have nontrivial phase but trivial magnitude. Although it might seem that they are not particularly useful, they have a great many uses. For example, all Butterworth filters can be expressed as one half the sum of two all-pass filters.

8. *Comb filters*

Let $A(z) = 1 + a_n z^{-n}$ be a polynomial whose interior coefficients are all zero. The filter $H(z) = b_0 / A(z)$ will have special properties. Do this:

```
»a=[1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 .7];  
»plotZTP(a,1,0,100)  
»plotZTP(1,a,0,100)
```

You will see why one of these filters is called a *notch* filter and the other a *comb* filter when you see the magnitude plots. Print the resulting graphs and label them as either a notch or comb filter. Show mathematically why the following are true:

- i. The roots of $A(z)$ will be equally spaced around a circle of radius $|a_n|^{1/n}$.
- ii. The pulse response sequence $\{h_k\}$ will be zero unless k is a multiple of n .
- iii. The magnitude $|H(e^{j\theta})|$ is a periodic function in θ , with period $2\pi/n$.

(The same is true for the phase.)

9. We have seen in Lab 4 in EE311 that MATLAB treats the vector $a = [a(1) + a(2) + \dots + a(n+1)]$ as code for the polynomial $A(s) = a(1)s^n + a(2)s^{n-1} + \dots + a(n+1)$. But in digital filtering we want this to code $A(z) = a(1) + a(2)z^{-1} + \dots + a(n+1)z^{-n}$. Why does this make no difference when computing poles and zeros, complex frequency responses, and so on?